

Appendix K | 2023 Permit Oversight – Cultural Resources Coordination



February 17, 2023

Andrew W. Milam Project Archeologist

> Kurt Korfmacher Project Historian

Mark Wolfe State Historic Preservation Officer Texas Historical Commission 1511 Colorado Avenue PO Box 12276 Austin, TX 78711-2276

Attention: Tiffany Osburn

Re: Antiquities Code of Texas Cultural Resource Coordination Letter for the City of New Braunfels' Proposed Habitat Conservation Plan in the Comal River, New Braunfels, Comal County, Texas.

Dear Mr. Wolfe

This letter is intended to inform the Texas Historical Commission (THC) of continuing coordination of the proposed Edwards Aquifer Habitat Conservation Plan (EAHCP), components of which are located within Landa Lake and along the Comal River in New Braunfels, Texas (**Figures 1** and **2**). The Edwards Aquifer Authority (EAA) has been working in partnership with the City of New Braunfels (CONB) and other entities through the EAHCP to improve the conditions of the Comal River and Landa Lake to promote the health and habitat of threatened and endangered aquatic species through a variety of water quality, household hazardous waste management, native revegetation, non-native vegetation management, and recreation management actions. These actions, in turn, are subject to state-level cultural resource regulatory oversight outlined in the Antiquities Code of Texas (ACT). Through review of the proposed actions (as they are currently understood) and available background information, it is proposed that current components of the EAHCP will not likely adversely affect Historic Properties or State Antiquities Landmarks (SALs).

Of note, a similar letter to this has been prepared for the EAHCP's other component, located along the San Marcos River within San Marcos, Texas.

Regulatory/Management Summary

The EAHCP is sponsored by a portion of the EAA Aquifer Management Fee and activities will be completed by the CONB. The project will be implemented on land that is owned by the City of New Braunfels, a political subdivision of the State of Texas or on private property within the Comal County Water Recreation District #1 to which EAA and/or CONB have an easement. Due to State involvement, the project falls under the state-level cultural resource regulatory oversight outlined in the ACT. Though 2023's proposed project actions are not subject to federal oversight that would require compliance with Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act of 1966, as amended (Section 106), should permitting requirements change, background research and regulatory

recommendations are provided as though it were.

Project Description

As stated above, the EAHCP proposes to protect the water and habitat quality in Landa Lake and the Comal River through a series of improvement initiatives and projects within and along the shores of the existing waterways (**Attachments 1** and **2**). Nearly all of these measures have been ongoing for several years and some are spatial extensions of past projects that have been previously coordinated through the Texas Historical Commission (e.g., THC Ref. #202012123, 202108208, and 202208833).

According to the CONB, many of the project components or conservation measures are of limited scope and potential for impact to significant cultural resources. However, the EAHCP calls for several projects that involve removing invasive plants and replacing them with native varieties within the waterway channel (**Attachment 1**; Items 1 and 2) and along the banks within the waterways' riparian zones. Aquatic plantings will take place within approximately 500 square meters of the riverbed. Individual aquatic plants will be manually planted in the silty riverbed in a one-foot grid pattern to depths of 8-20 inches.

Riparian plantings along the shorelines (Attachment 1: Items 5 and 7) will be incidental and will predominantly be less than a gallon in size. There is potential for shallowly buried cultural materials to be impacted by plant removal and replanting projects, especially in locations adjacent to documented archeological sites and historic-age resources; however, these impacts are likely to be minor and in less well-preserved contexts. Previous EAHCP regulatory coordination (see THC Tracking #202012123) determined that a public-friendly cultural resource handout would serve as alternative mitigation for incidental impact to previously unrecorded archeological resources (if any were encountered).

The floating aquatic vegetation (**Attachment 1**: Items 3 and 6) and invasive fauna (**Attachment 1**: Item 4) management projects and the household hazardous waste collection project will not likely result in impacts to any cultural resources.

Since many of the conservation measures have overlapping footprints and some may take place anywhere within the overarching project area, the background research and environmental data are discussed in terms of the overarching project area rather than addressing each individual project separately. The parameters of each project will be discussed in more detail as it relates to the background overview.

Geology and Soils

The project area is underlain by two distinct geological formations: Pleistocene and Holocene aged fluviatile terrace deposits (Qt) and Early Cretaceous aged Edwards Limestone (Ked) (**Figure 3**). The southern half of the project area is underlain by Pleistocene and Holocene aged fluviatile terrace deposits which encompass the banks of the Comal River and the southern half of Landa Lake. The Edwards Limestone formation underlays the northern half of the project area - the northern half of Landa Lake and the headwaters of the Comal River.

Three different soils are identified within the project area (**Figure 4**). These are Purves clay (1-5% slope), Oakalla silty clay loam (0-2% slopes, frequently flooded), and Eckrant-Rock outcrop association (8-30% slope), which consist of predominately clay, and silty clay loams.

Purves clays are characterized as well drained gently sloping to steep clayey soils formed in interbedded limestone and marl.

Eckrant Series soils are characterized as well drained, shallow clayey soils that are commonly encountered on nearly level to very steep ridges on dissected plains. These soils are derived from limestone.

Oakalla loams are characteristically well drained deep loamy soils that are formed in calcareous loamy alluvium and are commonly encountered within floodplains. Soils from this series are the most common within the project area specifically the Oakalla soils frequently flooded (Ok) type.

Approximately 94% of the soils within and along the project areas are derived from alluvial deposits. These soils have the potential to contain not only surficial archeological deposits but deeply buried archeological materials as well. Deeply buried deposits may be well preserved within intact contexts.

Previous Archeological Studies

A records search was conducted online through the Texas Archeological Sites Atlas (Atlas) maintained by the Texas Historical Commission (THC). Researchers focused on documented cultural resources and past archeological projects within 500 meters (0.31 mile) of the project. The review identified 30 past archeological projects and 17 archeological sites within 500 meters of the project area (Figure 5).

The search revealed that 30 archeological projects have been conducted within 500 meters (0.31 mile) of the project area. These projects included several linear and area surveys, trench monitoring, underwater investigations, and archeological excavations. **Table 1** illustrates the archeological projects conducted within or immediately adjacent to the project area including the date on which it was surveyed, sponsoring agency, company that conducted the work, type of work conducted, and recommendations.

Date	Atlas Number	TAC Permit	Sponsoring Agency	Company	Type of Work	Recommendations
-	8400002836	-	Unknown	Unknown	Survey and testing	-
-	8500003075	-	Unknown	Unknown	Testing	No information available on the Atlas
1993	8500002886 8400002951 8500002976	1315	Edwards Aquifer Authority/City of New Braunfels	Espey, Huston, & Associates, Inc.	Eligibility testing as Landa Park golf course	No information available on the Atlas
1996	8500000065	1729	MONC	Unknown	Archaeological Monitoring of a Parking Lot Construction Project	Boundaries of site 41CM25 recommended to be extended to include the project area and that may future development of 41CM25 and/or the project area be closely monitored and evaluated by archaeologists.
1996	8500000282	-	City of New Braunfels	Unknown	Survey	No information available on the Atlas
2001	8500010584 8500010644	2524	Texas Department of Transportation	SWCA Survey of bridge construction project at Landa Street		Disturbance from utility lines, no further archeological work recommended

Table 1. Survey projects within or immediately adjacent to the project area.

Date	Atlas Number	TAC Permit	Sponsoring Agency	Company	Type of Work	Recommendations
2003	8500012010	3232	Lower Colorado River Authority	LCRA	Excavations at 41CM25 for the Comal Power Plant Redevelopment Project	No information available on the Atlas
2005	8500011771	-	Lower Colorado River Authority	LCRA	Survey	No information available on the Atlas
2005	8500018263	3740	Texas Department of Transportation	SWCA	Monitoring of a bridge replacement project	Heavily disturbed area, no sites found
2006	8500013665	4200	Texas Department of Transportation	Hicks & Company	Survey of SH46	Further survey for site 41CM305
2008	8500015949 8500015956	4779	Lower Colorado River Authority	LCRA	Survey of Historical Properties	Trenching Recommended
2010	8500018486	5642	City of New Braunfels	Ecological Communications Corporation	Archeological investigations at Landa Park	Several intact deposits discovered; further archeological work recommended
2012	8500020396	6135	New Braunfels Utilities	HDR	Survey of Lakeview Wastewater Line Rehabilitation	No further archeological work recommended
2013	8500025291	6378	Edwards Aquifer Authority	AmaTerra Environmental, Inc.	Survey and trenching of EAA HCP components	No sites or resources found
2013	8500035360	-	Corps of Engineers - Galveston District	Horizon Environmental Services	Survey for the Wurstfest Footbridge Project	No information available on the Atlas
2014	8500069649	6802	Corps of Engineers	AmaTerra Environmental, Inc.	Survey for the proposed Comal Springs Conservation Center	Archeological sites 41CM204 and 41CM369 are recommended for avoidance
2017	8500081358	7899	Lower Colorado River Authority	LCRA	Identification/Survey, Eligibility Testing, Mitigation/Excavation, Archival Research, Monitoring	No information relevant to the project area available on the Atlas
2019	8500081501	8728	New Braunfels Utilities	AmaTerra Environmental, Inc.	Investigations within State Antiquities Landmark 41CM175 for New Braunfels Utilities' Proposed Surface Water Pump Station	No further archeological work recommended
2019	8500081030	8861	New Braunfels Utilities	AmaTerra Environmental, Inc.	Survey of proposed New Braunfels Utilities 30" & 33" North Kuehler Interceptor	No sites or resources found

2010 Landa Park and Golf Course Survey

Ecological Communications Corporation's (EComm's) 2010 intensive archeological survey of Landa Park and the Landa Park Golf Course is particularly relevant to the EAA's planned Riparian Planting Activities (Attachment 1: Item 7; Antiquities Permit 5642, Nickels 2011). During that survey, archeologists monitored extensive trenching for irrigation lines along the shorelines of Landa Park. Archeologists recovered three chipped stone artifacts on the surface on the east bank of the Mill Race. No concentrated, intact cultural deposits were observed. Crews extended the boundaries for site

41CM177 to the south to include these three surface finds, in turn extending the site boundary into the northern portion of the 2023 proposed riparian restoration area along the Mill Race of the Comal River. Although site 41CM177 is recorded as a SAL it is unlikely that any SAL eligible deposits exist within the exact planting locations.

In addition, the EComm surveyors intensively inspected Landa Park and Golf Course property for surface artifacts, collecting all diagnostics. No significant archeological resources (artifacts or features) were observed or collected in the vicinity of the proposed Mill Race of the Comal River planting areas.

Recorded Archeological Sites

Seventeen archeological sites have been recorded as well within 500 meters. Of the seventeen sites identified, ten are located within or immediately adjacent to the project area. Brief descriptions of the ten adjacent sites are given below. **Table 2** illustrates those nearest sites' distance from water, size, temporal setting, and NRHP/SAL listing potential/status.

41CM25 is a Middle Archaic through Late Archaic burial and open campsite recorded in 1936 with further work conducted in 1990 and 1992. The site was registered as a SAL in 1990. Burials, rock concentrations, and large quantities of worked chert were recorded in 1990. Additional further lithic debitage was recorded in 1992. The size of the site was originally recorded as 100 meters x 50 meters with a depth of one to two meters below surface. The additional work in 1992 adjusted the size of the site to 200 x 400 meters and at least 150 centimeters below surface (cmbs). An estimated 50 percent of the site was intact as of 1992.

41CM172 is a Prehistoric habitation recorded in 1984, with further work conducted in 1987 and 2018. The site was registered in 1987 as a SAL. A dense scatter of lithic flakes and debitage was recorded in 1987. Additional debitage as well as burned rock clusters were found during trenching in 2018. The size of the site was originally recorded as 120 meters x 70 meters with an unknown depth. The additional work in 2018 adjusted the size of the site to 150 meters x 480 meters and a depth of 80 cmbs. An estimated 20 percent of the site was intact as of 2018.

41CM174 is a multicomponent prehistoric site composed of two small hearths south of the Comal River. The site was originally recorded in 1984, and further work conducted in 2018. The site is considered eligible for both NRHP and SAL listing. Further archeological trenching was performed in 2018. The site was registered as a SAL in 1987. The site consisted of two small limestone hearths eroding out of the ground, as well as a thin veneer of burned rocks. Lithic materials were found scattered over the surface, with a strong concentration of chert cobbles in the northwestern portion of the site. The trenching done in 2018 recovered FCR, debitage, faunal bone, bifaces, and projectile points. The size of the site was originally recorded as 380 meters x 40-70 meters with an unknown depth. The additional work in 2018 adjusted the size of the site as 400 meters x 300 meters and up to 350 cmbs. An estimated 30 percent of the site was intact as of the additional work in 2018.

41CM175 is a Prehistoric habitation recorded in 1984 with further work conducted in 1987 and 2018. The site was registered as a SAL in 1987. Numerous lithic flakes and debitage were recorded in 1987. Additional debitage and projectile points were found during trenching in 2018. The original size of the site was recorded as 50 meters x 10-20 meters with an unknown depth. The additional work in 2018 adjusted the size of the site to 325 meters x 500 meters with an unknown depth. An estimated 20 percent of the site was intact as of 2018.

Site	Est. distance from water	Size	Temporal setting	Listing potential
41CM25	On the western bank of the Comal River	100 x 50 m	Late prehistoric through Archaic period	Listed as SAL in 1990
41CM172	100 ft north of the Comal River	18.4 acres	Prehistoric	Considered eligible for NRHP listing in 1987
41CM174	Site extends to the south banks of the Old Channel of the Comal River	400 x 300 m	Multicomponent; prehistoric and historic	Listed as SAL in 1987 Considered eligible for NRHP in 1987, considered undetermined in areas that have been developed for NRHP in 2005
41CM175	35 m east of Landa Lake	325 x 500 m	Unknown prehistoric	Listed as SAL in 1987
41CM176	72 m west of the Comal River	150 m in diameter	Late Prehistoric	Listed as SAL in 1987
41CM177	6 meters south from the Comal River	150 x 50 m	Middle Archaic to Early Archaic	Listed SAL in 1987
41CM184	400 ft southwest of Comal River	2 acres	Multicomponent; prehistoric and historic	Considered undetermined for NRHP in 2005
41CM190	On the west bank of Landa Lake	122 x 46-61 m	Late Paleoindian to Archaic	Listed SAL in 1990
41CM205	225 m west of the Comal River	400 x 300 m	Unknown Prehistoric	Listed as SAL in 1994
41CM221	Comal Springs 10 m east of the site	10 x 35 m	Early Archaic to Late Archaic	Listed SAL in 2016

Table 2. Sites adjacent to or within the project area.

41CM176 is a Late Prehistoric open campsite with small hearths recorded in 1986. The site was registered as a SAL in 1987. Lithic flakes, ceramic sherds, burned rock and burned and cut bone were recorded. The size of the site was recorded as 150 meters in diameter and 30 cmbs. An estimated 50 percent of the site was intact at the time of recording.

41CM177 is a Middle to Early Archaic open campsite composed of several small hearths, and lithic and burned rock scatters. The site was originally recorded in 1984, and further work conducted in 2018. The site is considered eligible for both NRHP and SAL listing. Further archeological trenching was performed in 2018. The site was registered as a SAL in 1987. Burned limestone and chert, as well as lithic debitage, cores, bifaces, dart points and one possible Martindale Point were all recorded at the site in 1984. Additional debitage, faunal bone, snail shells, biface fragments, and a projectile point were found during the trenching in 2018. The size of the site was originally recorded as 150 meters x 50 meters with an unknown depth. The additional work in 2018 adjusted the size of the site as 275 meters x 360 meters with an undetermined depth. An estimated 20 percent of the site was intact as of the additional work in 2018.

2023 EAHCP – New Braunfels

41CM184 is a multicomponent Prehistoric burial ground and campsite, and an Historic house site with associated gardens recorded in 1989. The site was determined potentially eligible for NHRP and SAL listing in 2005. For the prehistoric component of the site numerous projectile points, conch shell ornament, human remains, an incised pebble and possible sinkers were recorded. The historic component of the site produced no artifacts, only the Klappenbach house and its gardens. The size of the site was recorded as two acres with a depth of 150 cmbs. An estimated 50 percent of the site was intact as of 1989.

41CM190, also known as the Parr Site, is a Late Paleoindian to Archaic open campsite composed of burned limestone rock feature and a lithic scatter. The site was originally recorded in 1990 and further work conducted in 2018. The site is considered eligible for both NRHP and SAL listing. Further archeological trenching was performed in 2018. The site was registered as a SAL in 1990. Chert flakes, burned rock, worked flakes and one dart point were all recorded at the site in 1990. Additional debitage, a Pedernales point base, biface fragments, mussel shell, and historic period nails and glass were found during trenching in 2018. The size of the site was originally recorded as 46 meters x 122 meters and about 50 cmbs. The additional work in 2018 adjusted the size of the site as 150 meters x 125 meters and 90 cmbs. An estimated 40 to 50 percent of the site was intact in upper reaches, with the possibility of more intact greater than 50 cmbs.

41CM205 is a Prehistoric open campsite and burnt rock midden recorded in 1992. The site was registered as a SAL in 1994. Burnt chert, lithic debris, flakes, cores, projectile points, unifacial scraper, bifaces, blanks, and freshwater mussel shells were recorded. The size of the site was recorded as 400 meters by 300 meters and 50 cmbs. An estimated 50 percent of the site was intact at the time of recording.

41CM221 is an Early Archaic through the Late Archaic lithic tool manufacturing area. The site was recorded in 1996. The site was designated as a SAL in 2016. Over 2,000 lithic flakes and debitage, as well as numerous cores, unifaces, bifaces, 20 projectile points, FCR, mussel shell, and faunal bone were recorded at the site. The size of the site is 10 meters x 35 meters and 75 cmbs. An estimated 50 to 75 percent of the site was intact at the time of recording.

Identified Historic Resources

In addition to the numerous archeological sites and surveys recorded in the project vicinity, five NRHP historic districts, two NRHP properties, and 18 historic markers (both Recorded Texas Historic Landmarks and historical markers) are located within the 500-meter study area (see **Figure 5**). One of the historic districts is the NRHP-eligible New Braunfels City Water Works Historic District, located at the Headwaters at the Comal (also 41CM204). Another is the NRHP-eligible New Braunfels Commercial Historic District, which encompasses the NRHP-listed Main Plaza Historic District. Most of the proposed items of the EAHCP do not overlap with any of the nearby historic properties. However, Item 8 at Landa Park is within the Comal Power Plant Historic District and is immediately adjacent to the Landa Industries Historic District. This location was previously coordinated with the THC office in January 2021 and July 2020, respectively.

Regulatory Recommendations

Through this letter, AmaTerra requests the THC's confirmed concurrence with the recommendations provided for compliance with the ACT and in anticipation of Section 106. Based upon a review of the potential impacts associated with the proposed EAHCP, AmaTerra recommends that several project components have minimal potential for impacting historic properties and/or SALs and should be cleared to proceed with no further cultural resource coordination required (see **Attachment 1**). Shallow impacts from revegetation efforts in the vicinity of known sites or previously unsurveyed

2023 EAHCP – New Braunfels

areas may impact adjacent archeological resources (Items 1, 2, 5 and 7). Most of these areas have been surveyed many times over the past decades. Recent exhaustive surface inspection and intensive irrigation trench monitoring (see Nickels 2011) directly within the proposed riparian planting footprints were either devoid of artifacts altogether or they contained minimal artifacts in shallow and/or disturbed contexts. Though these planting components are within or next to recorded SALs, these previous survey findings suggest the planting activities are not likely to adversely affect SAL-eligible deposits.

Also, as mentioned previously, the EAA has developed a public brochure as alternative mitigation that they are distributing to planting crews that provides a cultural context and guidance on what to do if they were to find artifacts during their work. AmaTerra recommends that continued distribution of this brochure will be sufficient to mitigate any small, isolated impact to archeological resources that could occur.

Through this letter, AmaTerra requests THC's concurrence with the recommendations provided for compliance with the ACT and in anticipation of Section 106. Thank you for your time in reviewing this submittal. If you have any questions or wish to discuss this further, please feel free to contact us at 512-329-0031 or <u>amilam@amaterra.com</u> and <u>kkorfmacher@amaterra.com</u>.

Sincerely,

Andrew W. Milam Project Archeologist

Kurt Korfmacher Project Historian

Cc: Olivia Ybarra, Edwards Aquifer Authority; Phillip Quast, City of New Braunfels

Reference Cited

Nickels, David

2011 Archaeological Investigations in Landa Park and Golf Course, City of New Braunfels, Comal County, Texas. Archeological Survey Report. Ecological Communications Corporation Project 100-006. Austin, Texas.



Figure 1. Project location map on modern aerial photograph.



Figure 2. Project location map on USGS topographic quadrangle.



Figure 3. Map depicting the underlying geology of the project area.



Figure 4. Soil map of the project area.

Map ID	Number	Marker Name	Year
1	1807	First Patented Wire Fence	1982
2	2779	Torrey, John F., Early Mill and Factory	1936
3	3573	New Braunfels	1936
4	3574	New Braunfels	2005
5	4842	Nuestra Senora de Guadalupe Mission	1968
6	5306	The Church of Saints Peter and Paul	1976
7	5348	The Grotto	1980
8	16021	Landa Park	2009
9	17085	Meriwether Milling	2012
10	18357	Mill Street Telegraph Station	2016
11	18682	Wurstfest	2017

Official Texas Historic Marker Key for Figure 5.

Recorded Texas Historic Landmark Key for Figure 5.

Map ID	Number	Marker Name	Year
А	235	Dietz, August, Cottage	1974
В	987	Comal County Courthouse	1993
С	1404	Eggeling Hotel	1979
D	5364	Klein, Joseph, House	1979
Е	5381	Moeller House, The	1970
F	5447	Voigt House, The	1973
G	17817	Windwehen Home	2014

ltem	EAHCP Section	Location	Work Summary	Estimated Impacts	Archeological Resource Recommendations	Historic Resource Recommendations
1	Old Channel Aquatic Vegetation Restoration & Maintenance (5.2.2.1/5.2.2.3)	Old Channel of the Comal River	The enhancement and restoration of native aquatic plants within the Old Channel of the Comal River. Invasive plants will be removed and monitored for growth.	Non-native plant species have been previously removed and will be monitored for regrowth. Stem cuttings will be collected from selected areas within the Comal River system of <i>Ludwigia</i> and <i>Cabomba</i> which will be propagated in a shallow portion of Landa Lake. Transplants of <i>L u d w i g i a</i> , <i>Cabomba</i> and <i>Sagittaria</i> will be planted as needed within the Old Channel to reach the desired coverage. Expected depths of impacts are estimated at 8-20 inches.	The planting of native flora within the area has a potential depth impact of 8-20 inches in the channel. <i>Public</i> <i>outreach brochure in lieu of</i> <i>survey (Ref. #202012123,</i> 202208833).	All proposed work would be within the banks of the river with no potential to impact historic properties. <i>No</i> <i>fieldwork recommended.</i>
2	Landa Lake/ Comal River Aquatic Vegetation Restoration & Maintenance (5.2.2.2/5.2.2.3)	Landa Lake New Channel of the Comal River Upper Spring Run	The enhancement and restoration of native aquatic plants within Landa Lake, Upper Spring Run, and the New Channel of the Comal River. Invasive plants will be removed as needed and replaced with native plants.	Previously removed invasive species within Landa Lake and Upper Spring Run will be monitored for regrowth and removed as needed. Stem cuttings will be collected from selected areas within the Comal River system of <i>Ludwigia</i> and <i>Cabomba</i> which will be propagated in a shallow portion of Landa Lake. Transplants of <i>L u d w i g i a</i> , <i>Cabomba</i> , <i>Potamogeton</i> and <i>Sagittaria</i> will be planted as needed within the New Channel, Landa Lake, Upper Spring Run to reach the desired coverage. Expected depths of impacts are estimated at 8-20 inches.	The planting of native flora within the areas has a potential depth impact of 8-20 inches in the channel. <i>Public outreach brochure in lieu of survey (Ref. #202012123, 202208833).</i>	The planting of native flora should not introduce visual impacts to the NRHP Comal Power Plant Historic District nor the local Landa Industries Historic District, with limited potential for adverse effect. <i>No fieldwork recommended.</i>
3	Decaying Vegetation Removal and Dissolved Oxygen Management (5.2.4)	Landa Lake Upper Spring Run	Management of floating vegetation mats and dissolved oxygen levels for the protection of the biological community.	Sensors will be placed in Landa Lake and the Upper Spring Run to determine dissolved oxygen levels. Floating vegetation mats and decaying vegetation will be dislodged and removed during low-flow periods to meet desired dissolved oxygen levels for the foundation darter and other aquatic fauna. Minimal impact to the shoreline is anticipated.	No subsurface impacts are anticipated during the collection of floating plant species and monitoring of dissolved oxygen levels. <i>No</i> <i>fieldwork recommended</i> .	All proposed work would be within the banks of the lake with no potential to impact historic properties. <i>No</i> <i>fieldwork recommended.</i>
4	Non-Native Animal Species Control (5.2.5/5.2.9)	Comal River	Non-Native, invasive faunal species (e.g., suckermouth catfish, tilapia, nutria) will be removed from the aquatic ecosystem. Captured fauna will be documented prior to their removal.	Nets, spear and bow fishing equipment, hand picking, and trapping will be utilized to capture invasive fish and mammals. Captured fauna will be documented and removed and/or euthanized. Minimal impact to the shoreline is anticipated.	No subsurface impacts are anticipated during the capture of invasive faunal species. <i>No fieldwork</i> <i>recommended.</i>	All proposed work would be within the banks of the river with no potential to impact historic properties. <i>No</i> <i>fieldwork recommended.</i>

Attachment 1: Summary of EAHCP Components within the New Braunfels Area and Regulatory Recommendations*

Item	EAHCP Section	Location	Work Summary	Estimated Impacts	Archeological Resource Recommendations	Historic Resource Recommendations
5	Native Riparian Habitat Restoration (5.2.8)	Landa Park Spring Run 1, 2, and 3 Western shoreline of Landa Lake	Non-native plants are removed through mechanical and/or chemical methods. Reconstruction of existing sediment capture berms located along the Western Shoreline of Landa Lake. Native species planted in newly exposed locations and throughout the riparian zone within the project footprint.	Minimal ground disturbance resulting from invasive plant removal Native seedlings for planting will be predominantly held in 8-inch/one-gallon pots. Anticipated depths of impacts do not reach more than one foot.	Extensive previous survey suggests low potential for intact site deposits in work area vicinity. Small, localized work areas coupled with isolated, non-contiguous vertical impacts from plantings suggest limited potential for adverse effect to significant archeological resources. <i>Public outreach in lieu of field</i> <i>survey</i> .	All proposed work would be along the banks of the river and lake with no potential to impact historic properties. <i>No</i> <i>fieldwork recommended.</i>
6	Litter and Floating Vegetation Management (5.2.10)	Landa Lake Spring Runs The upper portion of the Old Channel of the Comal River	Management of the impact of floating vegetation mats and litter on aquatic vegetation and endangered species habitat.	Floating vegetation mats will be dislodged weekly between March and September. This will continue as needed during the remainder of the year. Inorganic litter will also be collected bi- monthly between May and December from the waterways. No sub-surface impacts are anticipated.	No subsurface impacts are anticipated during the collection of floating plant species and litter. <i>No</i> <i>fieldwork recommended.</i>	All proposed work would be within the banks of the river and lake with no potential to impact historic properties. <i>No</i> <i>fieldwork recommended</i> .

Item	EAHCP Section	Location	Work Summary	Estimated Impacts	Archeological Resource Recommendations	Historic Resource Recommendations
7	Native Riparian Habitat Restoration (5.7.1)	Banks of the Mill Race of the Comal River between the USGS Weir and Landa Park Drive Landa Lake adjacent to Spring Island	Non-native plants are removed through mechanical and/or chemical methods. Larger trees are cut near the ground surface with the stump left in place and treated with herbicide. Monitor where non-native plants were removed in previous years and re-treat and remove emergent non- native vegetation. Install sediment control berms placed on surface near removed vegetation. Native species planted in newly exposed locations and throughout the riparian zone within the project footprint.	Work area for Landa Lake adjacent to Spring Island measures approximately 250 feet in length. Work area for Mill Race measures approximately 1200 feet in length. Minimal ground disturbance resulting from invasive plant removal; trees will be cut to ground surface then stump will be left in place and treated with herbicide. Native seedlings for planting will be predominantly held in 8-inch/one-gallon pots. Anticipated depths of impacts do not reach more than one foot.	Previous archeological surveys in the Mill Race and Spring Island vicinity indicate minimal potential for intact archeological site deposits. The removal of invasive species and subsequent planting of native flora within the area has an expected depth of impact at less than one foot. <i>Public outreach brochure in</i> <i>lieu of survey</i> .	The planting of native flora should not introduce visual impacts NRHP Districts. There is limited potential for adverse effect. <i>No fieldwork</i> <i>recommended</i> .
8	Impervious Cover/ Water Quality Protection (5.7.6)	Landa Park Aquatics Center Parking Lot	Construction planning for proposed bioretention basin to be added as part of the Aquatics Center parking lot renovation.	Bio-retention basin will be used to treat stormwater runoff. Expected depths of impacts are estimated at 4-5'.	The proposed bioretention pond project was coordinated with the THC as part of the CY 2020 EAHCP activities. Project component will be constructed in heavily disturbed context with no potential for intact archeological deposits. <i>Received</i> <i>concurrence in 2020 that</i> <i>construction could proceed with</i> <i>no fieldwork required (Ref.</i> #202012123).	Based on available information, the physical improvements to the Landa Park Aquatic Center would not introduce visual intrusions to the Comal Power Plant Historic District that would impact the district's historic integrity. Landa Park has previously been determined not eligible for NRHP listing. The improvements are recommended as having no adverse effect under Section 106 and would not impact listed historic properties under TAC.

* Table excludes EAHCP Items 5.2.1 (New/Old Channel Flow Split Management), 5.2.3 (Public Recreation Management), 5.2.7 (Hazardous Waste Transport Prohibition), and 5.2.11 (Golf Course Management) because they are unfunded for this year and are not likely to impact significant cultural resources. Additionally, Items 5.2.6/6.3.6 (Gill Parasite Monitoring and Reduction) and 5.7.5 (Household Hazardous Waste Management) do not include any physical disturbance and are therefore not likely to impact significant cultural resources.

Attachment 2: City of New Braunfels 2023 EAHCP Work Plan Document

City of New Braunfels 2023 EAHCP Work Plan

EAHCP Section	Conservation Measure	Table 7.1	Estimated 2023 Budget
5.2.1	Flow Split Management	\$0	\$0
5.2.2.1/ 5.2.2.3	Old Channel Aquatic Vegetation Restoration & Maintenance	\$100,000	\$50,000
5.2.2.2/ 5.2.2.3	Landa Lake/ Comal River Aquatic Vegetation Restoration & Maintenance	\$50,000	\$100,000 ¹
5.2.3	Management of Public Recreation	\$0	\$0
5.2.4	Decaying Vegetation Removal and Dissolved Oxygen Management	\$15,000	\$15,000
5.2.5/5.2.9	5.2.5/5.2.9 Non-Native Animal Species Control		\$40,000
5.2.6/ 6.3.6	Monitoring and Reduction of Gill Parasites	\$75,000	\$10,000
5.2.7	Prohibition of Hazardous Material Transport Routes	\$0	\$0
5.2.8	Native Riparian Habitat Restoration (Riffle Beetle)	\$25,000	\$10,000
5.2.10	Litter and Floating Vegetation Management	\$0	\$40,000
5.2.11	Golf Course Management	\$0	\$0
5.7.1	Native Riparian Habitat Restoration	\$100,000	\$50,000
5.7.5	Management of Household Hazardous Waste	\$30,000	\$40,385
5.7.6	Impervious Cover/ Water Quality Protection	\$100,000	\$700,000
	Totals	\$570,000	\$1,055,385

2023 City of New Braunfels Work Plan Budget

¹ The decrease of \$50,000 in the budget for Conservation Measure § 5.2.2./ 5.2.2.3 will be offset by a \$50,000 increase in the 2023 budget Old Channel Aquatic Vegetation Restoration Conservation Measure (EAHCP § 5.2.2.1). *Add footnote for HHW

Amendment #	Date EAHCP Committee Approved	Conservation Measure Amended	Y/N Funding Application Change	Funding Application Change (\$)	Date EAA Board Approved	Comments
0	5/19/2022	Original Work Plan	NA	NA	NA	Original Work Plan
0	10/13/2022	Original Funding Application	NA	NA	11/8/2022	Original Funding Application

2023 City of New Braunfels Work Plan and Funding Application Amendments

5.2.1 Flow Split Management

Long-term Objective:

To sustain flow rates in the Old Channel of the Comal River that complement Old Channel aquatic vegetation restoration efforts, minimize channel scouring, and maximize the quality of fountain darter habitat.

Target for 2023:

Maintain flow rates in the Old and New Channels of the Comal River to meet objectives specified in the revised Table 5-3 of the EAHCP (**Table 1**).

Priority will be given to achieving target flow rates in the Old Channel and, secondly, to flow rates in the New Channel. City of New Braunfels staff will monitor streamflow conditions via USGS streamflow gages and operate the flow-control gates between Landa Lake and the Old Channel to achieve flow targets. Maintenance activities associated with the flow-control gates will be conducted as needed to ensure continued operability.

Total Comal	Old C	Old Channel (cfs)		New	Channe	el (cfs)
Springflow (cfs)	Fall, Winter		Spring, Summer	Fall, Winter		Spring, Summer
350+	65		60	280+		290+
300	65		60	235		240
250	60		55	190		195
200	60		55	140		145
150		55			95	
100		50			50	
80		45			35	
70		40		-	30	
60		35-40			25	
50		35-40			15	
40		30			10	
30		20			10	

Table 1. EAHCP Table 5-3 (revised)

Methodology:

The City of New Braunfels will manage the flow-split program according to flow rates specified in revised Table 5-3 (**Table 1**). A standard operating procedure has been developed by the City of New Braunfels to guide adjustments to the flow-control gates and to achieve flow-split targets. City of New Braunfels staff will monitor real-time streamflow conditions at USGS gages in the Comal River system and adjust the flow-control gates, as needed, to meet flow-split streamflow targets. The primary 48" culvert gate and the back-up culvert gates will be operated conjunctively to meet target flow rates. Floating vegetation and debris will be manually removed from the flow control gate and screen, as needed, to prevent blockages and flow restrictions. Vegetative material removed from the intake structure will be placed along the banks of Landa Lake and/ or returned to Landa Lake. Floating vegetation is managed and funded under task of EAHCP § 5.2.10: Litter and Floating Vegetation Management. The flow control gates will be exercised routinely to maintain functionality of the gate.

Monitoring:

Monitoring of flow rates in the Old Channel, New Channel, and Comal River will be based on realtime streamflow data provided by the USGS gages in the Comal River. City of New Braunfels staff will monitor streamflow on a weekly basis, at minimum. Adjustments to the flow-control gate will be made on an as-needed basis to meet flow-spilt management objectives. City of New Braunfels staff will monitor the flow-control gate and intake screen on a regular basis to assess for vegetation build-up and debris that have the potential to restrict flow into the culvert between Landa Lake and the Old Channel.

Budget:

<u>Table 7.1:</u> \$0

Estimated 2023 budget: \$0

5.2.2.1/ 5.2.2.3 Old Channel Aquatic Vegetation Restoration and Maintenance

Long-term Objective:

To achieve native submerged aquatic vegetation (SAV) coverage goals for the Old Channel Long-Term Biological Goal (LTBG) and Old Channel Environmental Restoration & Protection Area (ERPA) reaches as set forth in the revised EAHCP tables 4.1 and 4.1.1, respectively. The overall intent of the aquatic vegetation restoration program is to increase and preserve the coverage of highquality habitat for the fountain darter (*Etheostoma fonticola*).

Target for 2023:

SAV restoration efforts in 2023 will include the planting of target SAV species in an effort to achieve annual SAV restoration goals and to maintain existing SAV coverage. **Figure 1** depicts the Comal River system and identifies individual Old Channel restoration reaches. The 2023 annual SAV restoration goals, as well as the EAHCP long-term SAV coverage goals, for the Old Channel LTBG and ERPA reaches are specified by reach and vegetation type in **Table 2**. Efforts will also be made in 2023 to monitor for and remove re-emergent non-native *Hygrophila* from the Old Channel LTBG and ERPA reaches.



Figure 1: LTBG and restoration reaches for the Comal River System. The Old Channel ERPA restoration reach is shown in green and the Old Channel LTBG reach in red.

Table 2: Annual and long-term SAV restoration goals, in meters squared (m^2) , within Old Channel LTBG & ERPA restoration reaches.

Reach	Aquatic Vegetation Species	Meters squared of aquatic vegetation (m ²)	Annual Restoration Goal	Approximate # of plantings needed to meet annual goal
		Long-term Goal	2023	2023
LTBG Reaches				
	Ludwigia	425	20	300-400
Old Channel	Cabomba	180	15	300
	Sagittaria	450	25*	300*
Restoration Reaches				
	Ludwigia	850	15	225-300
014 Channel	Cabomba	200	5	100
Old Channel ERPA	Sagittaria	750	10*	120*
	Vallisneria	750	0	-
	Potamogeton	100	0	0

*Sagittaria coverage will be monitored and planting will occur only as needed given its propensity to expand naturally.

Methodology:

Non-Native SAV Management:

Non-native SAV (i.e. *Hygrophila*) has largely been removed from the Old Channel between Landa Lake and the downstream limits of the Old Channel LTBG reach. SAV gardening will occur on a monthly basis throughout the Old Channel LTBG and Restoration reaches to identify and remove any re-emergent non-native SAV. Small, localized growth of non-native SAV will be removed by selective physical extraction of visible plant and root mass.

Native SAV Restoration:

Target SAV species will be planted within the Old Channel LTBG and ERPA reaches to increase the coverage of individual aquatic plant species per the annual restoration goals set forth in **Table 2**. The approximate number of plants needed to achieve the annual goals is also included in **Table 2**. Individual plant species will be planted where space is available and in locations within the channel where light exposure, flow velocities, and substrate provide the most suitable conditions. Supplemental plantings of *Ludwigia* and *Cabomba* will be planted in existing restoration plots in the Old Channel LTBG and ERPA reaches, as necessary, to maintain existing coverage and/ or to replace any losses in coverage due to floods, natural competition, or other factors.

Ludwigia will continue to be propagated in-situ within Landa Lake to provide plant stock for 2023 restoration efforts. In-situ propagation of *Ludwigia* will be conducted by collecting stem cuttings from *Ludwigia* plants present within the Comal River system. The cuttings will be placed in pots filled with substrate collected from within the Comal River system. The potted cuttings will be placed in Mobile Underwater Plant Propagation Trays (MUPPTs) that will be situated in a shallow portion of Landa Lake and allowed to produce roots and plant mass in advance of planting.

Ludwigia plants propagated in the MUPPTs, as well as *Ludwigia* cuttings, will be planted in suitable locations within the Old Channel LTBG and ERPA reaches to achieve an annual target of 20m² and 15m² of additional *Ludwigia* coverage, respectively. Approximately 15-20 *Ludwigia* plants are needed to achieve 1m² of coverage. Therefore, approximately 300-400 *Ludwigia* plants

will be planted in the Old Channel LTBG reach and 225-300 within the Old Channel ERPA Restoration reach to achieve target annual coverage. Supplemental plantings of *Ludwigia* will be planted within existing restoration plots within the Old Channel LTBG and ERPA reaches, as needed, to maintain existing coverage of *Ludwigia*.

Cabomba typically thrives in deep, low-velocity areas and will be planted in the most suitable locations in the Old Channel LTBG and ERPA reaches to achieve an annual target of 15m² and 5m² of additional *Cabomba* coverage, respectively. *Cabomba* will be planted using stem cuttings and/ or with individual rooted plants. Stemmed cuttings will be collected from the New Channel and/ or the Spring-fed pool where *Cabomba* is abundant. The cuttings will be bundled into fistsized bundles wrapped with rubber bands to keep bundles together. The Cabomba cutting bundles are typically 12 to 32 inches in length and will be planted at a depth of 2/3 their length, if possible, in soft, silty sediment. This planting depth prevents *Cabomba* from loosening and floating away and ensures multiple nodes are buried to encourage maximum development of root structure. Rooted Cabomba will also be utilized for planting. Rooted plants will be dug up individually from areas where *Cabomba* is abundant. The rooted plants will then be planted individually into silty streambed substrate. Both the stemmed cuttings and rooted plants will be planted in a grid-pattern at 1ft centers. Approximately 20 Cabomba plantings are needed to achieve 1m² of coverage. Therefore, approximately 300 and 100 Cabomba plants will be planted in the Old Channel LTBG and Old Channel ERPA reaches, respectively. Significantly more plantings than required to meet the targeted coverage of Cabomba will be planted to account for plant die-off. Supplemental plantings of Cabomba will be planted within existing restoration plots within the Old Channel LTBG and ERPA reaches, as needed, to maintain existing coverage of Cabomba.

Sagittaria coverage will be monitored throughout the year to determine the extent of natural expansion and whether planting will be required to meet annual and long-term SAV goals. Based on existing coverage of *Sagittaria* in the Old Channel and its aggressive growth habit, it is not anticipated that *Sagittaria* will be planted in 2023. *Sagittaria* will be planted only as needed, in the most suitable locations in the Old Channel LTBG and ERPA reaches to achieve annual targets of 25m² and 10m² of additional *Sagittaria* coverage at full grow out. *Sagittaria* will be planted as transplants harvested from Landa Lake and in the Old Channel where dense *Sagittaria* stands exist. The leaves of the transplants will be trimmed prior to planting to decrease buoyancy and drag. A few *Sagittaria* plants can form a dense colony within several months. *Sagittaria* has been observed to be slightly tolerant of lower light levels allowing it to be planted in deeper water and in shady locations. Approximately 12 *Sagittaria* plants are needed to achieve 1m² of coverage. Therefore, approximately 300 and 120 *Sagittaria* plants will be planted in the Old Channel LTBG and ERPA reaches, respectively, as needed, to achieve target annual coverage.

Competition between native plants has been observed in the Old Channel where *Potamogeton* and *Sagittaria* have encroached on and taken over *Ludwigia* and *Cabomba* stands, resulting in loss of *Ludwigia* and *Cabomba* coverage. To minimize the effects of competition and to promote the growth and spread of *Ludwigia* and *Cabomba*, prioritized plot areas will be established for these species. The plots will be established by first clearing an area of *Sagittaria* and then planting *Ludwigia/Cabomba*. Plant material that is removed during this activity will be collected and removed from the lake/ river. The plots will be maintained by removing *Sagittaria* that encroaches into the plots.

Following planting of native SAV, monthly gardening and maintenance will occur between March and October to assess health of plants and to identify and remove any non-native vegetation that is beginning to establish within planting areas.

Monitoring:

As discussed in previous sections, areas where non-native vegetation removal has occurred will be routinely monitored for the re-establishment of non-native vegetation. Planted areas will also be monitored to assess expansion, die-off, and competition by non-native species. Once native aquatic vegetation is established in an area, monitoring will be conducted on a less frequent basis.

Vegetation mapping in both the Old Channel LTBG reach and the Old Channel ERPA will be conducted to evaluate SAV coverage and to assess the progress of aquatic vegetation restoration efforts. Mapping is conducted by circling the perimeter of vegetation stands with a kayak equipped with a Trimble GPS unit. Mapping will occur in January, April, and October. The October mapping event will be used as a basis for assessing overall SAV coverage with respect to developing annual restoration goals for 2023 and subsequent years.

Budget:

Table 7.1: \$100,000

Estimated 2023 budget: \$50,000

*The decrease of \$50,000 in the 2023 budget for this Conservation Measure will be used to fund the Comal River/ Landa Lake Aquatic Vegetation Restoration Conservation Measure (EAHCP § 5.2.2.2).

5.2.2.2/5.2.2.3 Comal River/ Landa Lake Aquatic Vegetation Restoration and Maintenance

Long-term Objective:

To achieve native submerged aquatic vegetation (SAV) coverage goals for the Landa Lake, New Channel, and Upper Spring Run LTBG reaches and the Upper/ Lower Landa Lake restoration reaches as set forth in revised EAHCP tables 4.1 and 4.1.1, respectively. The overall intent of native SAV restoration is to provide high quality habitat for the Fountain Darter.

Target for 2023:

Efforts in 2023 will include the planting of target native SAV to achieve annual aquatic vegetation restoration goals and to maintain existing SAV coverage. **Figure 2** illustrates the Comal Springs/ River ecosystem and identifies the Landa Lake, New Channel and Upper Spring Run LTBG reaches as well as the Upper/ Lower Landa Lake restoration reaches. The annual aquatic plant restoration goals for the Landa Lake, New Channel, and Upper Spring Run LTBG reaches and the Upper/ Lower Landa Lake restoration reaches are specified by reach and vegetation type in **Table 3**. In addition to planting the target native aquatic plants to meet annual goals, continued efforts will be made in 2022 to monitor for the re-establishment of non-native *Hygrophila* in Landa Lake, New Channel, and Upper Spring Run LTBG reaches and the Upper/ Lower Landa Lake, New Channel, and Upper Spring Run LTBG reaches and the Upper/ Spring Run LTBG reaches are specified by reach and vegetation type in **Table 3**. In addition to planting the target native aquatic plants to meet annual goals, continued efforts will be made in 2022 to monitor for the re-establishment of non-native *Hygrophila* in Landa Lake, New Channel, and Upper Spring Run LTBG reaches and the Upper/ Lower Landa Lake restoration reaches. Any identified *Hygrophila* will be removed from the lake/ river.



Figure 2: LTBG and restoration reaches for the Comal River System. The Upper and Lower Landa Lake restoration reaches are shown in light red and blue (respectively). The Landa Lake, New Channel, and Upper Spring Run LTBG reaches are shown in red.

Reach	Aquatic Vegetation Species	Meters squared of aquatic vegetation (m ²) Long-term Goal	Annual Restoration Goal 2023	Approximate # of plants needed to meet annual goal 2023
LTBG Reaches		¥		
Landa Lake	Ludwigia	900	30	450-600
	Cabomba	500	25	500 sprigs
	Sagittaria	2,250	0	0
	Vallisneria	12,500	15**	*
	Potamogeton	25	0***	30***
	Ludwigia	100	5	75-100
New Channel	Cabomba	2,500	10	300 sprigs
	Sagittaria	0	0	0
	Ludwigia	25	0	75-100
Upper Spring	Cabomba	25	0	300 sprigs***
Run	Sagittaria	850	0	-
Restoration Reaches				
Landa Lake Upper	Ludwigia	25	0	0
	Cabomba	250	10	200 sprigs
	Sagittaria	250	25**	300*
	Ludwigia	50	5	75-100
	Cabomba	125	0	-
Landa Lake	Sagittaria	100	10^{**}	120*
Lower	Vallisneria	22,500	-	-

Table 3: Annual and long-term SAV restoration goals, in meters squared (m²), within Landa Lake, New Channel, and Upper Spring Run LTBG reaches and Upper/ Lower Landa Lake restoration reaches.

*Vallisneria and Sagitarria will not be planted but will be allowed to naturally expand, as needed, to increase coverage.

**Based on Fall 2021 mapping of SAV, coverages exceed the long-term coverage goal. No planting will be necessary unless declines in coverage are observed throughout the season.

*** An annual goal is not listed in the restoration schedule included in the 2016 SAV Analysis & Recommendation Report but coverage is below the EAHCP long-term SAV coverage goal.

Methodology:

Non-Native Vegetation Management:

Non-native SAV (i.e. *Hygrophila*) will be removed, as needed, to minimize competition with native SAV. Large-scale removal of non-native SAV will not be required in 2023 as non-native SAV has largely been eliminated from Landa Lake and the Upper Spring Run area. Restoration areas will be monitored for the re-establishment of non-native SAV. Small, localized growth of non-native SAV will be removed by selective physical extraction of visible plant and root mass.

Native SAV Restoration:

Target SAV species will be planted within the Landa Lake, New Channel, and Upper Spring Run LTBG reaches to increase the coverage of individual plant species per the annual restoration goals set forth in **Table 3**. An approximate number of plants needed to achieve the annual goals is also included in **Table 3**. Individual plant species will be planted in locations within the Lake/ river channel where light exposure, flow velocities, and substrate provide the best conditions for the

individual plant types. Supplemental plantings of *Ludwigia* and *Cabomba* will be planted in existing restoration plots within the Landa Lake, New Channel, and Upper Spring Run LTBG reaches, as necessary, to maintain existing coverage or to replace any drastic losses in coverage due to floods, natural competition or other factors.

Ludwigia will continue to be propagated in-situ within Landa Lake in order to provide plant stock for 2023 restoration efforts. In-situ propagation of Ludwigia will be conducted by collecting stem cuttings from Ludwigia plants that exist within the Comal River system. The cuttings will be placed in pots filled with substrate collected from within the Comal River system. The potted cuttings will then be placed in Mobile Underwater Plant Propagation Trays (MUPPTs) and placed in a shallow portion of Landa Lake and allowed to produce roots and plant mass. Ludwigia plants propagated in the MUPPTs, as well as Ludwigia cuttings, will be planted in suitable locations within the Landa Lake LTBG reach to achieve an annual target of 35 m² of additional Ludwigia coverage at full grow out, within the New Channel LTBG reach to achieve an annual target of 5 m² of additional Ludwigia coverage at full grow out, and within the Upper Spring Run LTBG reach to achieve and annual target of 5 m² of additional *Ludwigia* coverage at full grow out. *Ludwigia* plants and cuttings will also be planted in suitable locations within Lower Landa Lake restoration reach to achieve an annual target of 5m² of additional *Ludwigia* coverage. Slightly more than the targeted coverage of Ludwigia will be planted to account for plant die-off. Based on previous restoration experience, approximately 15-20 Ludwigia plants are needed to achieve 1m² of coverage. Approximately 450-600, 75-100, 75-100 and 75-100 Ludwigia plants will be planted in the Landa Lake LTBG, New Channel LTBG, Upper Spring Run LTBG and Lower Landa Lake Restoration reaches, respectively, to achieve target annual coverage in each reach.

Cabomba typically thrives in deep, low-velocity areas and will be planted in the most suitable locations in the Landa Lake LTBG reach to achieve an annual target of 25 m² of additional Cabomba coverage at full grow out and within the New Channel LTBG reach to achieve an annual target of 10m² of additional *Cabomba* coverage at full grow out. *Cabomba* will also be planted in suitable locations within the Upper Landa Lake restoration reach, as needed, to achieve an annual target of 10 m² of additional *Cabomba* coverage. *Cabomba* will be planted using stem cuttings. Stemmed cuttings will be collected from the New Channel and / or the spring-fed pool. The cuttings will be bundled into fist-sized bundles wrapped with rubber bands to keep bundles together. The Cabomba cutting bundles are typically 12 to 32 inches in length and will be planted at a depth of 2/3 their length, if possible, in soft, silty sediment. This planting depth prevents Cabomba from loosening and floating away and ensures multiple nodes are buried for production of good root structure. Rooted Cabomba will also be utilized and will be harvested from areas in the Comal River system where Cabomba is abundant. Significantly more than the targeted coverage of Cabomba will be planted in order to account for plant die-off. Approximately 20 Cabomba plantings are needed to achieve 1m² of coverage. Approximately 500, 300, and 300 Cabomba plants will be planted throughout the Landa Lake LTBG, New Channel LTBG, and the Upper Spring Run LTBG reaches, respectively to achieve target annual coverages in each reach. Approximately 200 Cabomba plants will be planted in the Upper Landa Lake restoration reach to achieve target annual coverage within this reach.

Sagittaria will be planted only as-needed in the most suitable locations in the Upper Landa Lake and Lower Landa Lake reaches to achieve annual targets of $25m^2$ and $10m^2$ of additional *Sagittaria* coverage, respectively, at full grow out. Due to its aggressive growth habit, observed natural expansion and existing coverage, it is not anticipated that *Sagittaria* will be planted in 2023 within any of the restoration reaches. If needed, *Sagittaria* will be planted as transplants harvested from

Landa Lake. The leaves of the transplants will be trimmed prior to planting to decrease buoyancy and drag. Approximately 12 *Sagittaria* plants are needed to achieve 1m² of coverage.

Potamogeton will be planted to increase coverage in the Landa Lake LTBG reach. *Potamogeton* will be planted using bare-root rhizomes that are harvested from the Comal River system. Approximately six rhizome sections need to be planted to achieve $1m^2$ of *Potamogeton* coverage.

Competition between native plants has been observed where *Vallisneria* and *Sagittaria* will encroach on and take over *Ludwigia* and *Cabomba* stands. To minimize the effects of competition and to promote the growth and spread of *Ludwigia* and *Cabomba*, buffers will be created around planted *Ludwigia* and *Cabomba* stands to the extent practicable. Any plant material that is removed during this activity will be collected and removed from the lake/ river.

Following planting of native SAV, gardening and maintenance will occur on a monthly basis between March and October to assess health of plants and to identify and remove any non-native vegetation that is beginning to establish within planting areas.

Monitoring:

Routine monitoring will occur in order to identify re-establishment of non-native aquatic vegetation. Planted areas will also be monitored to assess expansion, die-off, and competition by native and non-native aquatic plant species. Once native aquatic vegetation is established in an area, monitoring will be conducted on a less frequent basis.

Seasonal vegetation mapping in the Landa Lake, New Channel, and Upper Spring Run LTBG reaches and the Upper/ Lower Landa Lake restoration reaches will be conducted to evaluate SAV coverage and to assess progress of aquatic vegetation restoration efforts. Mapping is conducted by circling the perimeter of vegetation stands with a kayak equipped with a Trimble GPS unit. Mapping will occur in January, April, and October. The October mapping event will be used as a basis for assessing overall SAV coverage with respect to developing annual restoration goals for 2023 and subsequent years.

Budget: <u>Table 7.1:</u> \$50,000

Estimated 2023 budget: \$100,000

*The decrease of \$50,000 in the budget for this Conservation Measure will be offset by a increase in the 2023 budget Old Channel Aquatic Vegetation Restoration Conservation Measure (EAHCP § 5.2.2.1).

5.2.3 Management of Public Recreation

Public recreational use of the Comal River ecosystems includes swimming, wading, tubing, boating, canoeing, kayaking, golfing, scuba diving, snorkeling and fishing. To minimize the impacts of incidental take resulting from recreation, the City of New Braunfels will continue to implement existing recreation control measures as specified in Section 5.2.3(1) of the EAHCP and will seek voluntary participation in the Certificate of Inclusion (COI) program from outfitters who facilitate recreation activities within the Comal River system.

Long-term Objective:

To minimize and mitigate the impacts of recreation on endangered species habitat within the Spring Runs, Landa Lake and the Comal River.

Target for 2023:

Continue to enforce existing restrictions that limit recreational access to Landa Lake, Spring Runs, and the Old Channel of the Comal River.

Inform river recreation Outfitters of the EAHCP COI program.

Methods:

The City will continue to enforce City Code Sections 86-4 and 142-5 that restrict recreational access to Landa Lake, Spring Runs, and the Old Channel. Trained Park Rangers will continue to patrol applicable areas to prevent illegal access to these waterbodies.

In 2021, a survey was distributed to local river outfitters to determine the local interest in participating in the COI program. Results of the survey concluded that there was minimal interest in the community to opt into the COI program along the Comal River. If any river outfitters are interested in participating in the program, the City will work in conjunction with EAHCP program staff to develop COI program documents and strategies.

Monitoring:

Monitor the status of participating outfitters to comply with the minimum COI outfitter standards and requirements set forth in EAHCP § 5.2.3.

Budget: <u>Table 7.1:</u> \$0

Estimated 2023 budget: \$0

5.2.4 Decaying Vegetation Removal and Dissolved Oxygen Management

Long-term Objective:

Maintain adequate dissolved oxygen (DO) levels within Landa Lake for the protection of the biological community, including the fountain darter. Minimize and mitigate oxygen consumption caused by decaying vegetation.

Target for 2023:

Collect DO data spatially throughout Landa Lake and the Upper Spring Run during low-flow periods (<100 cfs discharge at Comal Springs). Displace floating vegetation mats, as needed, that form on Landa Lake to prevent oxygen consumption by decaying vegetation (management of floating/ decaying vegetation will be funded and accomplished through the Litter and Floating Vegetation Management Conservation Measure [EAHCP § 5.2.10]). Remove decaying vegetation from Landa Lake and Upper Spring Run during low-flow conditions (<100 cfs), as needed, to mitigate low DO levels caused by low-springflow and decaying vegetation.

Methods and Monitoring:

Approximately six logging DO sensors (e.g., comparable to MiniDOT sensors available from Precision Measurement Engineering [PME Inc. Vista, CA] that have been used in prior years) will be installed in key documented Fountain Darter habitat areas in Landa Lake during periods when Comal Springs discharge decreases below 100 cfs. The sensor data will be downloaded, and the equipment will be cleaned routinely, as needed, to prevent fouling. The main objective of this data collection is to continuously monitor DO conditions during low-flow events and prompt DO mitigation activities.

Aquatic vegetation conditions and floating vegetation mats will be visually observed on a regular basis (i.e. weekly at minimum) to assess for signs of stress, die-off. Floating aquatic vegetation and dead aquatic vegetation has the potential to cause oxygen depletion from the decomposition of the vegetation itself and from reduced atmospheric reaeration. Should vegetation die-off be observed due to low-flow or if floating vegetation mats reach impactive levels (if mats cover >25% of the mid-lake area or if individual mats are >3 meters diameter), displacement or removal of the decaying vegetation or vegetation mats will take place within one week of identification as part of Litter and Floating Vegetation Management Conservation Measure (EAHCP § 5.2.10).

If low springflow conditions (<100cfs) occur and vegetation decay or low DO is evident, intensive displacement or removal of decaying vegetation will be implemented, as appropriate, under EAHCP § 5.2.10. Intensive refers to the frequency of vegetation mat management being more than once per week. Displacement and/or removal will be conducted in the least disruptive method tested to be effective, to limit any additional DO stress from stirring, turbidity, etc.

Budget:

Table 7.1: \$15,000

Estimated 2023 budget: \$15,000 *To be utilized only if low-flow conditions (<100cfs) are realized at Comal Springs.

5.2.5/5.2.9 Non-Native Animal Species Control

The City of New Braunfels will continue to implement a program to reduce non-native animal species in the Comal River system. The non-native animal species that will be targeted include the suckermouth armored catfish, sailfin catfish, tilapia, and nutria. Since this Work Plan has two components identified within the EAHCP, each component has been broken out to facilitate the development of the Work Plan and budgets.

Long-term Objective:

Reduce populations of non-native animal species to minimize their direct and indirect impacts to the Covered Species and the Comal River ecosystem.

Target for 2023:

Continue existing program to remove non-native invasive species, including tilapia, nutria, sailfin catfish and suckermouth armored catfish from the Comal River system utilizing removal methods proven successful in previous years. Continue to record counts and biomass of removed species per removal effort.

Methods:

Invasive species will be removed from Landa Lake and portions of the Comal River during routine removal sessions that will occur year-round.

Tilapia, sailfin catfish, and suckermouth armored catfish will be targeted throughout the Comal River system by divers with spears and spearguns. Upon removal from the water, all invasive fish will be eviscerated, in accordance with state laws, and disposed of. The carcasses will be measured (in inches) and weighed (in pounds). Total biomass of the removed fishes will be calculated. Total length of non-native fishes will also be measured to determine if, over time, the removal of adults affects target population demographics.

Box traps baited with carrots, sweet potatoes, and apples will be utilized to capture nutria. Traps will be placed in areas frequented by nutria (evident by slides, scat, chewed vegetation, lake-wall erosion and damage, and other observations). The traps will be checked in the late afternoon and again the next morning at approximately 7:30 am. Captured nutria will be euthanized. Removed nutria will be measured (in inches) and weighed (in pounds) prior to being disposed of.

Monitoring:

The non-native species removal program will involve obtaining and recording the following information:

- Date of removal.
- Number of hours worked.
- Type of species removed.
- Removal method.
- Number of individuals caught/speared.
- Total weight of individuals removed.
- Length of individuals removed.

The data provided will be used by CONB and EAHCP staff to generate catch per unit effort and to determine the effectiveness of the removal program.

The EAA Biological Monitoring program will also assess the status of non-native species populations and any impacts of non-native removal to the Covered Species.

Reduction of Non-Native Species Introduction and Live Bait Prohibition

Long-term Objective:

Minimize the introduction of non-native species to the Comal River system.

Target for 2023:

The City will enforce Ordinance No. 2019-42, City Code Section 142-4 and 142-6 enacted to control introductions of non-native aquatic organisms to the Comal River system.

Methods:

The City will uphold the ordinance prohibiting aquarium dumping and the use of non-native aquatic bait species.

Monitoring:

The EAA Biological Monitoring program and routine non-native removal sessions will detect the presence of newly introduced species.

Budget:

Table 7.1: \$75,000

Estimated 2023 budget: \$40,000
5.2.6/6.3.6 Monitoring and Reduction of Gill Parasites

Long-term Objective:

To assess the threat of the gill parasite (*Centrocestus formosanus*) and the intestinal fluke parasite (*Haplorchis pumilio*) on fountain darter populations by monitoring parasite cercariae concentrations in the water column.

Target for 2023:

Perform parasite water column cercariae monitoring at four established monitoring transects. Analyze monitoring data to determine the overall effect and potential threat of the gill parasite and *H. pumilio* to fountain darter populations.

Methods:

To quantify the concentrations of drifting parasite cercariae in the Comal River study area, three transects (LL, OCR, RVP) that were previously sampled in 2015-2021 will be sampled in 2023. In addition, monitoring will also occur at a fourth transect at Pecan Island (PI) that was established in 2020 at the downstream end of the Pecan Island slough. The monitoring will occur once in late summer of 2023 in order to remain consistent with timing of previous years' monitoring.

Figure 3 illustrates the parasite cercariae monitoring locations. The four sampling transects are considered locations that adequately represent the Comal Spring system and are efficient for long-term monitoring of drifting cercariae.

At each of the selected transect locations, 5-L water samples will be collected from six points that are distributed throughout the water column both horizontally and vertically. For each transect, three sampling stations will be established that are equally spaced across the stream channel perpendicular to flow. At each of these stations, two 5-L samples will be collected, one approximately 5 cm from the surface and one at 60% of the depth at that location. Samples will be collected using a modified livewell pump attached to a standard flow/depth measurement rod and buckets marked at the 5-L volume. At the time of collection, each water sample will be immediately treated with 5 milliliters (ml) of formaldehyde to kill parasite cercariae, thus facilitating their capture (live cercariae can wiggle through the filter device). Filtration will involve passing the sample through a specialized filter apparatus containing three progressively finer nylon filters, the final filter having pores of 30 microns. After filtration of each sample, the 30- micron filter containing cercariae will be removed from the filtration apparatus and placed in a Petri dish. Each sample will then be stained with Rose Bengal solution and fixed with 10% formalin, at which point the Petri dish was closed and sealed with Parafilm for storage. Cercariae on each filter will later be counted using high-power microscopy at the BIO-WEST laboratory.

Budget:

<u>Table 7.1:</u> \$75,000

Estimated 2023 budget: \$10,000



Figure 3. Parasite cercariae monitoring locations

5.2.7 Prohibition of Hazardous Materials Transport Across the Comal River and Its Tributaries

The City of New Braunfels will continue to prohibit the transport of hazardous materials on routes crossing the Comal River and its tributaries.

Long-term Objective:

To minimize the potential for accidental spills or releases of hazardous materials into the Comal River system that may cause negative impacts to the Covered Species.

Target for 2023:

Maintain existing HazMat transport signage and monitor for the presence of trucks carrying hazardous cargo on routes crossing the Comal River and its tributaries.

Methods:

City of New Braunfels Ordinance No. 93-7 effectively restricts the transport of hazardous cargo within Loop 337 and IH-35 and therefore, over roadways crossing the Comal River. Hazardous cargo route prohibition signage was installed in 2016 at key roadways near the headwaters of Landa Lake and the Comal River.

Monitoring:

Hazardous cargo restriction signage will be monitored and replaced/ repaired as needed. The City of New Braunfels Police Department will monitor for trucks carrying hazardous cargo on prohibited routes per City ordinance.

Budget:

Table	7.1:
\$0	

Estimated 2023 budget: \$0

5.2.8 Native Riparian Habitat Restoration (Comal Springs riffle beetle)

Long-term Objective:

Establish a healthy, functioning riparian area along Spring Runs 1, 2 & 3, and the western shoreline of Landa Lake to benefit the Comal Springs riffle beetle (*Heterelmis comalensis*). Establish native riparian vegetation to increase the stability of the bank, decrease erosion/ sedimentation and increase the amount of available food sources (i.e. course particulate organic matter) for the riffle beetle.

Target for 2023:

Monitor and maintain previously restored riparian areas along Spring Run 1, 2 & 3 and the western shoreline of Landa Lake. Plant additional native riparian plant species within the riparian buffer area, as needed, to increase the density of vegetative coverage in this area. Remove any re-emergent non-native vegetation and maintain sediment control berms. Replace/ maintain sediment control berms and install new berms, as needed.

Methods:

Monitoring/ Maintenance:

Monitor the riparian zone along Spring Runs 1, 2, & 3 and the western shoreline of Landa Lake twice/ year, once in late spring/ early summer (April-June) and once in the fall (October) to assess for the re-emergence of non-native vegetation and to monitor the status of native plants and erosion control berms.

Mechanically remove and/ or re-treat with approved herbicide any observed re-emergent, nonnative invasive plants within the riparian zone along Spring Run 1, 2 & 3 and along the western shoreline, as needed.

Plant supplemental native vegetation, as needed, to increase density of riparian buffer area. Native plants will be selected based on root structure, light requirements, drought tolerance, growth habits and deer-resistance. Candidate native plant species may include, but will not be limited, to those in **Table 4**. Re-construct erosion control berms as needed.

Monitor the stability and condition of existing sediment capture berms located along the Western Shoreline of Landa Lake. Repair and replace failing berms and install new berms as needed to help capture sediment prior to reduce sedimentation in Landa Lake.

Table 4. California plantings	
Sun Species	Shade Species
Turks Cap (Malvaviscus arboreus var. drummondii)	Turks Cap (Malvaviscus arboreus var.
	drummondii)
Frostweed (Verbesina virginica)	Frostweed (Verbesina virginica)
Yellow Bidens (Bidens laevis)	Emory Sedge (Carex emoryi)
Swamp Milkweed (Asclepias incarnata)	Boneset/ Mistflower (Ageratina havanensis)
Switchgrass (Panicum virgatum)	Elderberry (Sambucus canadensis)
Bushy bluestem (Andropogon glomeratus)	Giant spiderwort (Tradescantia gigantean)

Table 4. Candidate riparian plantings

Table 4. Candidate fiparial plantings				
Emory Sedge (Carex emoryi)	Texas aster (Symphyotrichum drummondii			
	texanum)			
Sweetscent (Pluchea odorata)	Red salvia (Salvia coccinea)			
Yellow compass plant (Silphium integrifolium radulum)	Inland Sea Oats (Chasmanthium latifolium)			
Texas bluebells (Eustoma exaltatum)				
Trees and Shi	<u>rubs</u>			
American Beautyberry (Call	icarpa americana)			
Bald Cypress (Taxodiu	m distichum)			
Bee Brush (Eysenhard	dtia texana)			
Black Walnut (Jugle	ans nigra)			
Burr Oak (<i>Quercus macrocarpa</i>)				
Buttonbush (Cephalanthus occidentalis)				
Eve's Necklace (Styphnolobium affine)				
Fragrant Sumac (<i>Rhus aromatica</i>)				
Green Ash (Fraxinus pennsylvanica)				
Mexican Buckeye (Ungr	nadia speciosa)			
Mexican Plum (Prunu	is mexicana)			
Mountain Laurel (Sophor	ra secundiflora)			
Possum Haw Holly (Ilex ambigua)				
Red Buckeye (Aesculus pavia)				
Red Mulberry (Morus rubra)				
Dwarf Palmetto (Sau	bal minor)			

Table 4. Candidate riparian plantings

Budget:

<u>Table 7.1:</u> \$25,000

Estimated 2023 budget: \$10,000

5.2.10 Litter and Floating Vegetation Control

Long-term Objective:

Minimize the impacts of floating vegetation mats and litter on aquatic vegetation and endangered species habitat in Landa Lake, the Spring Runs, and the upper portion of the Old Channel. Mitigate low dissolved oxygen levels in Landa Lake caused by decaying vegetation. Minimize shading of and negative impacts to aquatic vegetation caused by floating vegetation mats.

Target for 2023:

Dislodge floating vegetation mats and remove litter from applicable portions of the Comal River system to prevent negative impacts to flow control structures, aquatic vegetation, and endangered species habitat. In the event of low-flow conditions or receipt of depressed dissolved oxygen levels in Landa Lake, the removal of and/or increased efforts to dislodge floating vegetation mats will be initiated to prevent oxygen consumption by decaying vegetative material.

Methods:

Floating Vegetation Mat Management: Floating vegetation mats are commonly observed within Landa Lake and are composed primarily of macrophyte fragments, algae, bryophytes and terrestrial debris. The vegetation mats are naturally occurring and are the result of natural processes. Maintenance activities associated with floating vegetation mats in Landa Lake will involve dislodging floating mats and facilitating migration of the mats downstream of Landa Lake. Any litter found within floating vegetation mats will be removed prior to dislodging. Maintenance of floating vegetation mats will occur on a weekly basis between March and September and on an asneeded basis during the remainder of the year. Floating vegetation mats will be dislodged from flow control structures, the Three Islands area, fishing pier and other locations where vegetation mats accumulate and negatively impact native aquatic vegetation. Additional efforts to displace and/ or remove floating and decaying vegetation will occur during low-flow conditions (<100cfs) and/ or when low dissolved oxygen levels are observed to further mitigate impacts to dissolved oxygen and native aquatic vegetation.

Litter Management: (May 1st to September 30th). Litter pickup within the riparian zone along the Old Channel will occur on a bi-monthly basis (twice/ month) between May 1st and September 30th. Litter will also be removed from within the Old Channel to the extent that it can be removed with a 10ft trash grabber. Removed litter will be quantified and reported on a monthly basis.

Monitoring:

Monitor litter and floating vegetation mats in applicable areas on a weekly basis and more frequently if low-flow conditions occur. Dissolved Oxygen concentrations will be monitored by EAA and as part of the Decaying Vegetation Removal and Dissolved Oxygen Management Conservation Measure (EAHCP § 5.2.4). City staff will monitor contractor efforts and coordinate additional efforts when deemed necessary.

Budget:

<u>Table 7.1:</u> \$0

Estimated 2023 budget: \$40,000

5.2.11 Golf Course Management and Planning

The City of New Braunfels will implement their existing Integrated Pest Management Plan (IPMP) for Landa Park Golf Course. This process will incorporate public input and the Golf Course Advisory Board. The golf course IPMP will incorporate environmentally sensitive techniques to minimize chemical application, continue to improve water quality, and reduce negative effects to the ecosystem. Expanded water quality sampling targeted at Golf Course operations will be conducted as described in Section of 5.7.2 of the EAHCP.

Long-term Objective:

To manage the golf course and grounds in a way that minimizes negative impacts to the aquatic ecosystem in Landa Lake and the Comal River.

Target for 2023:

Continue to implement the IPMP and update as needed.

Methods:

The golf course and grounds will be maintained in an aesthetically pleasing, yet environmentally sensitive manner. It is the responsibility of the Golf Course Manager to maintain the course and grounds in accordance with the new IPMP. The IPMP describes chemicals and methods for controlling pests (i.e. insects, weeds, and other living organisms requiring control) on the golf course in a way that does not negatively impact water quality or endangered species.

Monitoring:

The EAHCP Water Quality Monitoring Program monitors surface water, groundwater, and fish tissue for a range of contaminants to collect information on the water quality of Comal Springs and associated surface waters.

Budget:

<u>Table 7.1:</u> \$0

Estimated 2023 budget: \$0

5.7.1 Native Riparian Habitat Restoration

Long-term Objective:

Increase the area and density of native riparian vegetation, reduce the coverage of non-native riparian vegetation, and prevent streambank erosion in areas immediately adjacent to the Comal River and Landa Lake to complement aquatic vegetation restoration efforts and to help protect water quality.

Target for 2023:

Remove non-native riparian vegetation (i.e. Elephant Ears) from the banks the Mill Race of the Comal River and along a portion of Landa Lake and plant native vegetation where non-natives are removed. The target work areas for 2023 are along the bank along the Mill Race of the Comal River between the USGS weir and Landa Park Drive (**Figure 5**) and along Landa Lake adjacent to Spring Island on property owned by the Comal County Water Recreation District #1 (**Figure 6**).



Figure 5. Location of 2023 riparian restoration along Mill Race of the Comal River (yellow area).



Figure 6. Location of 2023 riparian restoration along the banks of Landa Lake adjacent to the Spring Island which is owned by the Comal Country Water Recreation District #1.

Monitor and maintain riparian areas where non-native riparian vegetation was treated/ removed in previous years to prevent re-establishment. Monitor and maintain previously planted areas to assess condition of riparian vegetation and promote the establishment/ growth of native vegetation. Plant additional native plants, and/ or grasses, as needed, to replace dead plantings or to vegetate bare areas. Maintenance of restored areas in Landa Park may include the installation of permanent fencing, as needed, to prevent disturbance of restored areas by park visitors.

Methods:

Invasive Species Management:

Non-native riparian vegetation will be treated with mechanical methods and/ or with use of an aquatic-approved herbicide. Elephant Ears will be treated in small sections to minimize overall herbicide usage and to minimize soil/ bank disturbance over large areas. Non-native trees will be cut and removed, and remaining tree stump treated with aquatic-approved herbicide.

Monitor areas where non-native plants were removed in previous years. Re-treat and remove reemergent non-native vegetation.

Native Plant Restoration:

Install sediment control berms in locations where non-native plants are treated/ removed. Native plants will be planted following the successful treatment/ removal of non-native vegetation and installation erosion control berms. Native plants will be selected based on sun exposure, proximity to the stream, growth habit, and ability to withstand deer browsing. Candidate native plant species may include those in **Table 5 and 6**.

Trees and Shrubs	Herbaceous
American Beautyberry (<i>Callicarpa americana</i>)	Coral Honeysuckle (<i>Lonicera sempervirens</i>)
Bald Cypress (<i>Taxodium distichum</i>)	Creeping Spotflower (Acmella repens)
Bee Brush (Eysenhardtia texana)	Emory Sedge (<i>Carex emoryi</i>)
Black Walnut (Juglans nigra)	Frog Fruit (<i>Phyla nodiflora</i>)
Burr Oak (<i>Quercus macrocarpa</i>)	Frostweed (Verbesina virginica)
Buttonbush (<i>Cephalanthus occidentalis</i>)	Horse Herb (<i>Calyptocarpus vialis</i>)
Elderberry (Sambucus canadensis)	Inland Sea Oats (<i>Chasmanthium latifolium</i>)
Eve's Necklace (<i>Styphnolobium affine</i>)	Switchgrass (Panicum virgatum)
Fragrant Sumac (<i>Rhus aromatica</i>)	Texas Lantana (<i>Lantana urticoides</i>)
Green Ash (<i>Fraxinus pennsylvanica</i>)	Turks Cap (Malvaviscus arboreus var. drummondii)
Mexican Buckeye (Ungnadia speciosa)	Water Willow (Decodon verticillatus)
Mexican Plum (<i>Prunus mexicana</i>)	White Boneset (<i>Eupatorium serotinum</i>)
Mountain Laurel (Sophora secundiflora)	Yellow Bidens (<i>Bidens sp.</i>)
Possum Haw Holly (<i>Ilex ambigua</i>)	Woodland Sedge (Carex blanda)
Red Buckeye (Aesculus pavia)	Zexmenia (Wedelia acapulcensis var. hispida)
Red Mulberry (Morus rubra)	
Dwarf Palmetto (Sabal minor)	
Soapberry (Sapindus drummondii)	
Sycamore (Platanus occidentalis)	
Sycamore (<i>Platanus occidentalis</i>) Grasses	Forbs
	Forbs Texas Bluebonnet (<i>Lupinus texensis</i>)
Grasses	
Grasses Buffalo Grass (Buchloe dactyloides) Eastern Gamagrass (Tripsacum dactyloides) Green Sprangletop (Leptochloa dubia)	Texas Bluebonnet (Lupinus texensis)Purple Prairie Clover (Dalea purpurea)Partridge Pea (Chamaechrista fasciculata)
Grasses Buffalo Grass (Buchloe dactyloides) Eastern Gamagrass (Tripsacum dactyloides) Green Sprangletop (Leptochloa dubia) Prairie Wildrye (Elymus canadensis)	Texas Bluebonnet (Lupinus texensis)Purple Prairie Clover (Dalea purpurea)Partridge Pea (Chamaechrista fasciculata)Texas Yellow Star (Lindheimera texana)
Grasses Buffalo Grass (Buchloe dactyloides) Eastern Gamagrass (Tripsacum dactyloides) Green Sprangletop (Leptochloa dubia) Prairie Wildrye (Elymus canadensis) Switchgrass (Panicum virgatum)	Texas Bluebonnet (Lupinus texensis)Purple Prairie Clover (Dalea purpurea)Partridge Pea (Chamaechrista fasciculata)Texas Yellow Star (Lindheimera texana)Gayfeather (Liatris mucronata)
Grasses Buffalo Grass (Buchloe dactyloides) Eastern Gamagrass (Tripsacum dactyloides) Green Sprangletop (Leptochloa dubia) Prairie Wildrye (Elymus canadensis)	Texas Bluebonnet (Lupinus texensis)Purple Prairie Clover (Dalea purpurea)Partridge Pea (Chamaechrista fasciculata)Texas Yellow Star (Lindheimera texana)Gayfeather (Liatris mucronata)White Prairie Clover (Dalea candida)
Grasses Buffalo Grass (Buchloe dactyloides) Eastern Gamagrass (Tripsacum dactyloides) Green Sprangletop (Leptochloa dubia) Prairie Wildrye (Elymus canadensis) Switchgrass (Panicum virgatum)	Texas Bluebonnet (Lupinus texensis)Purple Prairie Clover (Dalea purpurea)Partridge Pea (Chamaechrista fasciculata)Texas Yellow Star (Lindheimera texana)Gayfeather (Liatris mucronata)White Prairie Clover (Dalea candida)Lemon Mint (Monarda citridora)
Grasses Buffalo Grass (Buchloe dactyloides) Eastern Gamagrass (Tripsacum dactyloides) Green Sprangletop (Leptochloa dubia) Prairie Wildrye (Elymus canadensis) Switchgrass (Panicum virgatum) Little Bluestem (Schizachyrium scoparium) Blue Grama (Bouteloua gracilis) Sideoats Grama (Bouteloua curtipendula)	Texas Bluebonnet (Lupinus texensis)Purple Prairie Clover (Dalea purpurea)Partridge Pea (Chamaechrista fasciculata)Texas Yellow Star (Lindheimera texana)Gayfeather (Liatris mucronata)White Prairie Clover (Dalea candida)Lemon Mint (Monarda citridora)Plains Coreopsis (Coreopsis tinctoria)
Grasses Buffalo Grass (Buchloe dactyloides) Eastern Gamagrass (Tripsacum dactyloides) Green Sprangletop (Leptochloa dubia) Prairie Wildrye (Elymus canadensis) Switchgrass (Panicum virgatum) Little Bluestem (Schizachyrium scoparium) Blue Grama (Bouteloua gracilis) Sideoats Grama (Bouteloua curtipendula) Curly Mesquite (Hilaria belangeri)	Texas Bluebonnet (Lupinus texensis)Purple Prairie Clover (Dalea purpurea)Partridge Pea (Chamaechrista fasciculata)Texas Yellow Star (Lindheimera texana)Gayfeather (Liatris mucronata)White Prairie Clover (Dalea candida)Lemon Mint (Monarda citridora)Plains Coreopsis (Coreopsis tinctoria)Indian Blanket (Gaillardia pulchella)
Grasses Buffalo Grass (Buchloe dactyloides) Eastern Gamagrass (Tripsacum dactyloides) Green Sprangletop (Leptochloa dubia) Prairie Wildrye (Elymus canadensis) Switchgrass (Panicum virgatum) Little Bluestem (Schizachyrium scoparium) Blue Grama (Bouteloua gracilis) Sideoats Grama (Bouteloua curtipendula)	Texas Bluebonnet (Lupinus texensis)Purple Prairie Clover (Dalea purpurea)Partridge Pea (Chamaechrista fasciculata)Texas Yellow Star (Lindheimera texana)Gayfeather (Liatris mucronata)White Prairie Clover (Dalea candida)Lemon Mint (Monarda citridora)Plains Coreopsis (Coreopsis tinctoria)
Grasses Buffalo Grass (Buchloe dactyloides) Eastern Gamagrass (Tripsacum dactyloides) Green Sprangletop (Leptochloa dubia) Prairie Wildrye (Elymus canadensis) Switchgrass (Panicum virgatum) Little Bluestem (Schizachyrium scoparium) Blue Grama (Bouteloua gracilis) Sideoats Grama (Bouteloua curtipendula) Curly Mesquite (Hilaria belangeri)	Texas Bluebonnet (Lupinus texensis)Purple Prairie Clover (Dalea purpurea)Partridge Pea (Chamaechrista fasciculata)Texas Yellow Star (Lindheimera texana)Gayfeather (Liatris mucronata)White Prairie Clover (Dalea candida)Lemon Mint (Monarda citridora)Plains Coreopsis (Coreopsis tinctoria)Indian Blanket (Gaillardia pulchella)
GrassesBuffalo Grass (Buchloe dactyloides)Eastern Gamagrass (Tripsacum dactyloides)Green Sprangletop (Leptochloa dubia)Prairie Wildrye (Elymus canadensis)Switchgrass (Panicum virgatum)Little Bluestem (Schizachyrium scoparium)Blue Grama (Bouteloua gracilis)Sideoats Grama (Bouteloua curtipendula)Curly Mesquite (Hilaria belangeri)Indiangrass (Sorghastrum nutans)	Texas Bluebonnet (Lupinus texensis)Purple Prairie Clover (Dalea purpurea)Partridge Pea (Chamaechrista fasciculata)Texas Yellow Star (Lindheimera texana)Gayfeather (Liatris mucronata)White Prairie Clover (Dalea candida)Lemon Mint (Monarda citridora)Plains Coreopsis (Coreopsis tinctoria)Indian Blanket (Gaillardia pulchella)
GrassesBuffalo Grass (Buchloe dactyloides)Eastern Gamagrass (Tripsacum dactyloides)Green Sprangletop (Leptochloa dubia)Prairie Wildrye (Elymus canadensis)Switchgrass (Panicum virgatum)Little Bluestem (Schizachyrium scoparium)Blue Grama (Bouteloua gracilis)Sideoats Grama (Bouteloua curtipendula)Curly Mesquite (Hilaria belangeri)Indiangrass (Sorghastrum nutans)Texas Cupgrass (Eriochloa sericea)	Texas Bluebonnet (Lupinus texensis)Purple Prairie Clover (Dalea purpurea)Partridge Pea (Chamaechrista fasciculata)Texas Yellow Star (Lindheimera texana)Gayfeather (Liatris mucronata)White Prairie Clover (Dalea candida)Lemon Mint (Monarda citridora)Plains Coreopsis (Coreopsis tinctoria)Indian Blanket (Gaillardia pulchella)
GrassesBuffalo Grass (Buchloe dactyloides)Eastern Gamagrass (Tripsacum dactyloides)Green Sprangletop (Leptochloa dubia)Prairie Wildrye (Elymus canadensis)Switchgrass (Panicum virgatum)Little Bluestem (Schizachyrium scoparium)Blue Grama (Bouteloua gracilis)Sideoats Grama (Bouteloua curtipendula)Curly Mesquite (Hilaria belangeri)Indiangrass (Sorghastrum nutans)Texas Cupgrass (Eriochloa sericea)Sand Dropseed (Sporobolus cryptandrus)	Texas Bluebonnet (Lupinus texensis)Purple Prairie Clover (Dalea purpurea)Partridge Pea (Chamaechrista fasciculata)Texas Yellow Star (Lindheimera texana)Gayfeather (Liatris mucronata)White Prairie Clover (Dalea candida)Lemon Mint (Monarda citridora)Plains Coreopsis (Coreopsis tinctoria)Indian Blanket (Gaillardia pulchella)
GrassesBuffalo Grass (Buchloe dactyloides)Eastern Gamagrass (Tripsacum dactyloides)Green Sprangletop (Leptochloa dubia)Prairie Wildrye (Elymus canadensis)Switchgrass (Panicum virgatum)Little Bluestem (Schizachyrium scoparium)Blue Grama (Bouteloua gracilis)Sideoats Grama (Bouteloua curtipendula)Curly Mesquite (Hilaria belangeri)Indiangrass (Sorghastrum nutans)Texas Cupgrass (Eriochloa sericea)Sand Dropseed (Sporobolus cryptandrus)Sand Lovegrass (Eragrostis trichodes)	Texas Bluebonnet (Lupinus texensis)Purple Prairie Clover (Dalea purpurea)Partridge Pea (Chamaechrista fasciculata)Texas Yellow Star (Lindheimera texana)Gayfeather (Liatris mucronata)White Prairie Clover (Dalea candida)Lemon Mint (Monarda citridora)Plains Coreopsis (Coreopsis tinctoria)Indian Blanket (Gaillardia pulchella)
GrassesBuffalo Grass (Buchloe dactyloides)Eastern Gamagrass (Tripsacum dactyloides)Green Sprangletop (Leptochloa dubia)Prairie Wildrye (Elymus canadensis)Switchgrass (Panicum virgatum)Little Bluestem (Schizachyrium scoparium)Blue Grama (Bouteloua gracilis)Sideoats Grama (Bouteloua curtipendula)Curly Mesquite (Hilaria belangeri)Indiangrass (Sorghastrum nutans)Texas Cupgrass (Eriochloa sericea)Sand Dropseed (Sporobolus cryptandrus)Sand Lovegrass (Eragrostis trichodes)Big Bluestem (Andropogon gerardii)	Texas Bluebonnet (Lupinus texensis)Purple Prairie Clover (Dalea purpurea)Partridge Pea (Chamaechrista fasciculata)Texas Yellow Star (Lindheimera texana)Gayfeather (Liatris mucronata)White Prairie Clover (Dalea candida)Lemon Mint (Monarda citridora)Plains Coreopsis (Coreopsis tinctoria)Indian Blanket (Gaillardia pulchella)
GrassesBuffalo Grass (Buchloe dactyloides)Eastern Gamagrass (Tripsacum dactyloides)Green Sprangletop (Leptochloa dubia)Prairie Wildrye (Elymus canadensis)Switchgrass (Panicum virgatum)Little Bluestem (Schizachyrium scoparium)Blue Grama (Bouteloua gracilis)Sideoats Grama (Bouteloua curtipendula)Curly Mesquite (Hilaria belangeri)Indiangrass (Sorghastrum nutans)Texas Cupgrass (Eriochloa sericea)Sand Dropseed (Sporobolus cryptandrus)Sand Lovegrass (Eragrostis trichodes)Big Bluestem (Andropogon gerardii)Cane Bluestem (Bothriochloa barbinodis)	Texas Bluebonnet (Lupinus texensis)Purple Prairie Clover (Dalea purpurea)Partridge Pea (Chamaechrista fasciculata)Texas Yellow Star (Lindheimera texana)Gayfeather (Liatris mucronata)White Prairie Clover (Dalea candida)Lemon Mint (Monarda citridora)Plains Coreopsis (Coreopsis tinctoria)Indian Blanket (Gaillardia pulchella)

Tał	ole 5. Candidate riparia	in plantings for Land	da Lake Golf Course and Landa Park	

Trees	Perennials
American Sycamore (Platanus occidentalis)	Coral Honeysuckle (Lonicera sempervirens)
Bald Cypress (Taxodium distichum)	Creeping Spotflower (Acmella repens)
Eastern Red Cedar (Juniperus virginiana)	Emory Sedge (Carex emoryi)
Cedar Elm (Ulmus crassifolia)	Frog Fruit (Phyla nodiflora)
Burr Oak (Quercus macrocarpa)	Damianita (Chrysactinia mexicana)
Eastern Cottonwood (Populus deltoides)	Fall Aster (Symphyotrichum oblongifolium)
Retama (Parkinsonia aculeata)	Four Nerve Daisy (Tetraneuris scaposa)
Eve's Necklace (Styphnolobium affine)	Frogfruit (Phyla nodiflora)
Texas Redbud (Cercis canadensis var. texensis)	Texas Lantana (Lantana urticoides)
Anacacho Orchid Tree (Bauhinia lunaroides)	Turks Cap (Malvaviscus arboreus var. drummondii)
Mountain Laurel (Sophora secundiflora)	Horsetail Reed (Equisetum hyemale)
Texas Persimmon (Diospyros texana)	Meahly Blue Sage (Salvia farinacea)
American Sycamore (Platanus occidentalis)	Missouri Primrose (Oenothera macrocarpa)
	Orange Zexmenia (Wedelia acapulcensis var.
	hispida)
	Pidgeonberry (Rivina humilis)
	Rock Rose (Pavonia lasiopetala)
	Snake Herb (Dyschoriste linearis)
	Tropical Sage (Salvia coccinea)
Grasses	Shrubs/ Understory Plants
Woodland Sedge (Carex blanda)	Shrubs/ Understory Plants American Beautyberry (Callicarpa americana)
	Shrubs/ Understory Plants
Woodland Sedge (Carex blanda)	Shrubs/ Understory Plants American Beautyberry (Callicarpa americana)
Woodland Sedge (Carex blanda) Eastern Gamagrass (Tripsacum dactyloides)	Shrubs/ Understory Plants American Beautyberry (Callicarpa americana) Buttonbush (Cephalanthus occidentalis)
Woodland Sedge (Carex blanda) Eastern Gamagrass (Tripsacum dactyloides) Lindheimer Muhly (Muhlenbergia lindheimeri)	Shrubs/ Understory Plants American Beautyberry (Callicarpa americana) Buttonbush (Cephalanthus occidentalis) Coralbean (Erythrina herbacea)
Woodland Sedge (Carex blanda)Eastern Gamagrass (Tripsacum dactyloides)Lindheimer Muhly (Muhlenbergia lindheimeri)Bushy Bluestem (Andropogon glomeratus)	Shrubs/ Understory Plants American Beautyberry (Callicarpa americana) Buttonbush (Cephalanthus occidentalis) Coralbean (Erythrina herbacea) Elderberry (Sambucus canadensis)
Woodland Sedge (Carex blanda)Eastern Gamagrass (Tripsacum dactyloides)Lindheimer Muhly (Muhlenbergia lindheimeri)Bushy Bluestem (Andropogon glomeratus)Switchgrass (Panicum virgatum)	Shrubs/ Understory Plants American Beautyberry (Callicarpa americana) Buttonbush (Cephalanthus occidentalis) Coralbean (Erythrina herbacea) Elderberry (Sambucus canadensis) Evergreen Sumac (Rhus virens)
Woodland Sedge (Carex blanda) Eastern Gamagrass (Tripsacum dactyloides) Lindheimer Muhly (Muhlenbergia lindheimeri) Bushy Bluestem (Andropogon glomeratus) Switchgrass (Panicum virgatum) Little Bluestem (Schizachyrium scoparium)	Shrubs/ Understory Plants American Beautyberry (Callicarpa americana) Buttonbush (Cephalanthus occidentalis) Coralbean (Erythrina herbacea) Elderberry (Sambucus canadensis) Evergreen Sumac (Rhus virens) Fragrant Mimosa (Mimosa borealis)
Woodland Sedge (Carex blanda) Eastern Gamagrass (Tripsacum dactyloides) Lindheimer Muhly (Muhlenbergia lindheimeri) Bushy Bluestem (Andropogon glomeratus) Switchgrass (Panicum virgatum) Little Bluestem (Schizachyrium scoparium) Sideoats Grama (Bouteloua curtipendula)	Shrubs/ Understory Plants American Beautyberry (Callicarpa americana) Buttonbush (Cephalanthus occidentalis) Coralbean (Erythrina herbacea) Elderberry (Sambucus canadensis) Evergreen Sumac (Rhus virens) Fragrant Mimosa (Mimosa borealis) Fragrant Mistflower (Ageratina havanensis)
Woodland Sedge (Carex blanda)Eastern Gamagrass (Tripsacum dactyloides)Lindheimer Muhly (Muhlenbergia lindheimeri)Bushy Bluestem (Andropogon glomeratus)Switchgrass (Panicum virgatum)Little Bluestem (Schizachyrium scoparium)Sideoats Grama (Bouteloua curtipendula)	Shrubs/ Understory Plants American Beautyberry (Callicarpa americana) Buttonbush (Cephalanthus occidentalis) Coralbean (Erythrina herbacea) Elderberry (Sambucus canadensis) Evergreen Sumac (Rhus virens) Fragrant Mimosa (Mimosa borealis) Fragrant Mistflower (Ageratina havanensis) Indigobush (Amorpha fruticosa)
Woodland Sedge (Carex blanda) Eastern Gamagrass (Tripsacum dactyloides) Lindheimer Muhly (Muhlenbergia lindheimeri) Bushy Bluestem (Andropogon glomeratus) Switchgrass (Panicum virgatum) Little Bluestem (Schizachyrium scoparium) Sideoats Grama (Bouteloua curtipendula) Inland Sea Oats (Chasmanthium latifolium) Misc Lindheimer Marsh Fern (Thelypteris ovata)	Shrubs/ Understory Plants American Beautyberry (Callicarpa americana) Buttonbush (Cephalanthus occidentalis) Coralbean (Erythrina herbacea) Elderberry (Sambucus canadensis) Evergreen Sumac (Rhus virens) Fragrant Mimosa (Mimosa borealis) Fragrant Mistflower (Ageratina havanensis) Indigobush (Amorpha fruticosa) Kidneywood (Eysenhardtia texana)
Woodland Sedge (Carex blanda) Eastern Gamagrass (Tripsacum dactyloides) Lindheimer Muhly (Muhlenbergia lindheimeri) Bushy Bluestem (Andropogon glomeratus) Switchgrass (Panicum virgatum) Little Bluestem (Schizachyrium scoparium) Sideoats Grama (Bouteloua curtipendula) Inland Sea Oats (Chasmanthium latifolium) Misc	Shrubs/ Understory Plants American Beautyberry (Callicarpa americana) Buttonbush (Cephalanthus occidentalis) Coralbean (Erythrina herbacea) Elderberry (Sambucus canadensis) Evergreen Sumac (Rhus virens) Fragrant Mimosa (Mimosa borealis) Fragrant Mistflower (Ageratina havanensis) Indigobush (Amorpha fruticosa) Kidneywood (Eysenhardtia texana) Mexican Buckeye (Ungnadia speciosa) Palmetto (Sabal minor) Possumhaw (Ilex decidua)
Woodland Sedge (Carex blanda) Eastern Gamagrass (Tripsacum dactyloides) Lindheimer Muhly (Muhlenbergia lindheimeri) Bushy Bluestem (Andropogon glomeratus) Switchgrass (Panicum virgatum) Little Bluestem (Schizachyrium scoparium) Sideoats Grama (Bouteloua curtipendula) Inland Sea Oats (Chasmanthium latifolium) Misc Lindheimer Marsh Fern (Thelypteris ovata) Maidenhair Fern (Adiantum capillus) Beargrass (Nolina lindheimeriana)	Shrubs/ Understory PlantsAmerican Beautyberry (Callicarpa americana)Buttonbush (Cephalanthus occidentalis)Coralbean (Erythrina herbacea)Elderberry (Sambucus canadensis)Evergreen Sumac (Rhus virens)Fragrant Mimosa (Mimosa borealis)Fragrant Mistflower (Ageratina havanensis)Indigobush (Amorpha fruticosa)Kidneywood (Eysenhardtia texana)Mexican Buckeye (Ungnadia speciosa)Palmetto (Sabal minor)Possumhaw (Ilex decidua)Red Buckeye (Aesculus pavia)
Woodland Sedge (Carex blanda) Eastern Gamagrass (Tripsacum dactyloides) Lindheimer Muhly (Muhlenbergia lindheimeri) Bushy Bluestem (Andropogon glomeratus) Switchgrass (Panicum virgatum) Little Bluestem (Schizachyrium scoparium) Sideoats Grama (Bouteloua curtipendula) Inland Sea Oats (Chasmanthium latifolium) Misc Lindheimer Marsh Fern (Thelypteris ovata) Maidenhair Fern (Adiantum capillus)	Shrubs/ Understory Plants American Beautyberry (Callicarpa americana) Buttonbush (Cephalanthus occidentalis) Coralbean (Erythrina herbacea) Elderberry (Sambucus canadensis) Evergreen Sumac (Rhus virens) Fragrant Mimosa (Mimosa borealis) Fragrant Mistflower (Ageratina havanensis) Indigobush (Amorpha fruticosa) Kidneywood (Eysenhardtia texana) Mexican Buckeye (Ungnadia speciosa) Palmetto (Sabal minor) Possumhaw (Ilex decidua)
Woodland Sedge (Carex blanda) Eastern Gamagrass (Tripsacum dactyloides) Lindheimer Muhly (Muhlenbergia lindheimeri) Bushy Bluestem (Andropogon glomeratus) Switchgrass (Panicum virgatum) Little Bluestem (Schizachyrium scoparium) Sideoats Grama (Bouteloua curtipendula) Inland Sea Oats (Chasmanthium latifolium) Misc Lindheimer Marsh Fern (Thelypteris ovata) Maidenhair Fern (Adiantum capillus) Beargrass (Nolina lindheimeriana) Texas Sotol (Dasylirion texanum) Alamo Vine (Merremia dissecta)	Shrubs/ Understory PlantsAmerican Beautyberry (Callicarpa americana)Buttonbush (Cephalanthus occidentalis)Coralbean (Erythrina herbacea)Elderberry (Sambucus canadensis)Evergreen Sumac (Rhus virens)Fragrant Mimosa (Mimosa borealis)Fragrant Mistflower (Ageratina havanensis)Indigobush (Amorpha fruticosa)Kidneywood (Eysenhardtia texana)Mexican Buckeye (Ungnadia speciosa)Palmetto (Sabal minor)Possumhaw (Ilex decidua)Red Buckeye (Aesculus pavia)
Woodland Sedge (Carex blanda) Eastern Gamagrass (Tripsacum dactyloides) Lindheimer Muhly (Muhlenbergia lindheimeri) Bushy Bluestem (Andropogon glomeratus) Switchgrass (Panicum virgatum) Little Bluestem (Schizachyrium scoparium) Sideoats Grama (Bouteloua curtipendula) Inland Sea Oats (Chasmanthium latifolium) Misc Lindheimer Marsh Fern (Thelypteris ovata) Maidenhair Fern (Adiantum capillus) Beargrass (Nolina lindheimeriana) Texas Sotol (Dasylirion texanum)	Shrubs/ Understory PlantsAmerican Beautyberry (Callicarpa americana)Buttonbush (Cephalanthus occidentalis)Coralbean (Erythrina herbacea)Elderberry (Sambucus canadensis)Evergreen Sumac (Rhus virens)Fragrant Mimosa (Mimosa borealis)Fragrant Mistflower (Ageratina havanensis)Indigobush (Amorpha fruticosa)Kidneywood (Eysenhardtia texana)Mexican Buckeye (Ungnadia speciosa)Palmetto (Sabal minor)Possumhaw (Ilex decidua)Red Buckeye (Aesculus pavia)Skunkbush (Rhus aromatica var. trilobata)

Table 6. Candidate riparian plantings for Comal County Water Recreation District #1 Property

Monitoring:

Previously restored riparian areas will be monitored for the re-emergence of non-native vegetation and success of native plantings. Sediment capture structures will be monitored for effectiveness. Monitor native riparian plantings for success. A riparian habitat assessment will be conducted in the spring and fall to evaluate the condition of the riparian zone. Budget: <u>Table 7.1:</u> \$100,000

Estimated 2023 budget: \$50,000

5.7.5 Management of Household Hazardous Wastes

Long-term Objective:

To minimize the potential for improper disposal of hazardous wastes and associated negative impacts to endangered species in the Comal River system.

Target for 2023:

Hold three household hazardous waste (HHW) collection events in New Braunfels. Continue to partner with New Braunfels Utilities (NBU) on the Operation MedSafe drug recovery program.

Methods:

Conduct three HHW collection events that incorporate an education and outreach component. The HHW events are coordinated by City's Solid Waste Division in conjunction with Comal County. The cost of each HHW event is approximately \$40,000-\$45,000 which includes event set-up and HHW disposal costs. The average cost of a HHW collection event is \$40,385 based on HHW events held in 2018 and 2019. The cost of the first two HHW events is shared evenly between the City and County. The EAHCP program will fund the third event.

HHW collection events are held at the New Braunfels City Hall. Hazardous waste that is collected during the HHW collection events will be hauled off and disposed of by Clean Harbors.

The City is continuing to explore the feasibility of implementing a HHW drop-off facility that will accept HHW on an ongoing basis throughout the year. Currently, it is expected that a HHW drop-off facility will be opened within three years. The facility will likely be open to the public 1-2 days/ week for the drop-off of HHW.

The New Braunfels Police Department partners with NBU to host an annual medicine drop-off event in New Braunfels. The CONB website also contains information about the Operation MedSafe event and tips on proper disposal of medications and drugs.

Monitoring:

The volume of hazardous waste collected and the number of participants for each HHW collection event will be documented.

Budget:

Table 7.1: \$30,000

Estimated 2023 budget: \$40,385

5.7.6 Impervious Cover/Water Quality Protection

Long-term Objective:

To reduce non-point source pollutant discharges to Landa Lake and the Comal River system.

Target for 2023:

The City will construct a bioretention basin and associated grading improvements at the Landa Park Aquatics Center parking lot. Design plans for this project were completed in 2020 and updated in 2022. The bioretention basin will treat stormwater runoff from the approximately 2-acre paved parking surface.

Methods:

The City will contract with a construction contractor for construction of the project per the completed design plans. Work will include removal of existing asphalt paving, regrading of the parking lot area to promote drainage to the bioretention basin and construction of a vegetated bioretention basin. An updated cost estimate for construction of the project was completed in 2022 to inform the budget request.

Add picture of conceptual design

Budget: <u>Table 7.1:</u> \$100,000

Estimated 2023 budget: \$700,000

From:	<u>EAHCP</u>
To:	EAHCP
Subject:	2023 EAHCP New Braunfels -TX Historical Commission Concurrence
Date:	Thursday, March 14, 2024 6:18:55 PM

From: noreply@thc.state.tx.us <noreply@thc.state.tx.us>

Sent: Monday, April 3, 2023 8:25 AM

To: Mason Miller <<u>MMiller@amaterra.com</u>>; reviews@thc.state.tx.us;

ryanpatrickperckins@gmail.com

Subject: Edwards Aquifer Authority Habitat Conservation Plan – New Braunfels



Re: Project Review under the Antiquities Code of Texas **THC Tracking #202305763**

Date: 04/03/2023 Edwards Aquifer Authority Habitat Conservation Plan – New Braunfels Landa Park Drive New Braunfels, TX 78130

Description: Annual habitat improvement and management program for the Comal River, Landa Lake and the surrounding vicinity. It is administered through the Edwards Aquifer Authority. The attached discusses the plans for CY 2023.

Dear Mason Miller:

Thank you for your submittal regarding the above-referenced project. This response represents the comments of the Executive Director of the Texas Historical Commission (THC), pursuant to review under the Antiquities Code of Texas.

The review staff, led by Tiffany Osburn, Amy Borgens and Caitlin Brashear, has completed its review and has made the following determinations based on the information submitted for review:

Archeology Comments

• THC/SHPO concurs with information provided.

We look forward to further consultation with your office and hope to maintain a partnership that will foster effective historic preservation. Thank you for your cooperation in this review process, and for your efforts to preserve the irreplaceable heritage of Texas. If the project changes, or if new historic properties are found, please contact the review staff. If you have any questions concerning our review or if we can be of further assistance, please email the following reviewers: tiffany.osburn@thc.texas.gov, amy.borgens@thc.texas.gov, caitlin.brashear@thc.texas.gov.

This response has been sent through the electronic THC review and compliance system (eTRAC). Submitting your project via eTRAC eliminates mailing delays and allows you to check the status of the review, receive an electronic response, and generate reports on your submissions. For more information, visit <u>http://thc.texas.gov/etrac-system</u>.

Sincerely,



for Mark Wolfe, State Historic Preservation Officer Executive Director, Texas Historical Commission

Please do not respond to this email.

cc: <a href="mailto:ryanpatrickperckins@gmail.com</p">ryanpatrickperckins@gmail.com

Don't click links or attachments unless you know they're safe. - EAA Helpdes	sk
Don't click links or attachments unless you know they're safe. - EAA Helpdes	sk.



February 17, 2023

Andrew Milam Project Archeologist

> Kurt Korfmacher Project Historian

Mark Wolfe State Historic Preservation Officer Texas Historical Commission 1511 Colorado Avenue PO Box 12276 Austin, TX 78711-2276

Attention: Tiffany Osburn

Re: Antiquities Code of Texas Cultural Resource Coordination Letter for the City of San Marcos, Edwards Aquifer Authority, and Texas State University's Proposed Habitat Conservation Plan Activities for 2023 along the San Marcos River, San Marcos, Hays County, Texas.

Dear Mr. Wolfe

This letter is intended to inform the Texas Historical Commission (THC) of continuing coordination of the proposed Edwards Aquifer Habitat Conservation Plan (EAHCP), components of which are located along the San Marcos River in San Marcos, Texas (**Figures 1 and 2**). The Edwards Aquifer Authority (EAA), working in partnership with the City of San Marcos (COSM) and Texas State University (TXST), is using the EAHCP to improve the conditions of the San Marcos River to promote the health and habitat of endangered aquatic species and to protect water quality through household hazardous waste management, native revegetation, non-native vegetation management, and recreation management actions. These actions, in turn, are subject to state-level archeological resource regulatory oversight outlined in the Antiquities Code of Texas (ACT). Through review of the proposed actions (as they are currently understood) and available background information, it is proposed that the components of the EAHCP will not likely adversely affect Historic Properties (resources eligible for or listed in the National Register of Historic Places) or State Antiquities Landmarks (SALs).

Of note, a similar letter to this has been prepared for the EAHCP's 2023 City of New Braunfels Work Plan which covers restoration activities along Landa Lake and the Comal River system within New Braunfels, Texas.

Regulatory/Management Summary

The EAHCP consists of a series of City or University-implemented improvement projects that the EAA reimburses through their EAHCP Program Management Fee. Construction will take place on land that is owned by the COSM and/or TXST, both political subdivisions of the State of Texas. Therefore, the EAHCP falls under the state-level cultural resource regulatory oversight outlined in the ACT. Though 2023's proposed project actions are not subject to federal oversight that would require compliance with Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act of 1966, as amended (Section 106), background research and regulatory recommendations are provided as though it were.

Project Description

As stated above, the EAHCP's intent is to protect the water and habitat quality in Spring Lake and the San Marcos River through a series of restoration projects within and along the shores of the existing waterway (**Attachments 1** and **2**). According to the COSM and TXST Work Plan, within the San Marcos area there are seven project components or conservation measures currently planned at locations along the San Marcos River as well as along Sessom Creek that include some physical construction/work (see Attachment 1 for Items 1-7). Nearly all these measures have been ongoing for several years, and some are spatial extensions of past projects that have been coordinated through your office previously (e.g., THC Ref. #202012176, 202107829, and 202208529).

Based on the 2023 Work Plan project descriptions, many of the project components are of limited scope and potential to impact significant cultural resources. However, the EAHCP includes several projects involving workers manually removing invasive plant species and planting native species in their place. These activities may impact intact native soils along and adjacent to the waterways (Items 1-2 and 5). Activities will have a maximum depth of impact of less than one foot. Plantings will be incidental and will predominantly be less than a gallon in size. There is potential for shallowly buried cultural materials to be impacted by the plant removal and replanting projects, especially in locations adjacent to documented archeological sites and historic-age resources; however, these impacts are likely to be minor and in less well-preserved contexts. Previous EAHCP regulatory coordination (see THC Tracking #202012176) determined that the previously prepared public-friendly cultural resource handout would serve as alternative mitigation for incidental impact to previously unrecorded archeological resources.

Of note in reference to the portions of Item 2 south of Interstate Highway (IH) 35: The COSM actively worked with the United States Army Corps of Engineers (USACE) on a Riparian Restoration Plan (RRP). The RRP in this area largely overlaps what is depicted in this letter as an EAHCP component. The RRP is completely independent of the EAHCP. AmaTerra has coordinated that project separately (THC Ref# 202203827) and performed field investigations under Permit 30620 to assess the RRP's potential to affect significant cultural resources (Carter et al. 2022). The only work proposed for this area under the 2023 EAHCP is minor, incidental invasive plant cutting with no subsurface excavation. All avoidance areas recommended following the RRP survey pertain to this project as well, the most significant being the Thompson-Cape dam (41HY164) which could be destabilized by the removal of invasive species.

2023 EAHCP – San Marcos

The Sessom Creek sediment stabilization project (Item 7) is the only project with potential to impact cultural deposits. However, Phases 1 and 2 of this project have been surveyed for cultural resources under Texas Antiquities Permit 8867 (Miller and Korfmacher 2019). No further historic or archeological resources work is recommended, and the THC has reviewed and concurred with the report.

The floating plant removal (Item 3), invasive fauna removal (Item 4), recreation management (Item 6), and household hazardous waste programs will not likely result in impacts to any cultural resources.

Since the projects have overlapping footprints and some may take place anywhere within the overarching project area, the background research and environmental data are discussed in terms of the overall project area rather than addressing each individual component separately. The parameters of each project will be discussed in more detail as it relates to the background overview.

Geology and Soils

The underlying geologic units of the project area are Quaternary-age alluvial deposits (Qal), Upper Cretaceous aged Del Rio Clay and Georgetown Limestone undivided (Kdg), and Late Cretaceous Eagle Ford Formation and Buda Limestone undivided (Keb) (**Figure 3**). Much of the project area overlays Holocene alluvial floodplain deposits which encompass the banks of the San Marcos River. In the northern section of the project area along the banks of Spring Lake, the Del Rio Clay and Georgetown Limestone undivided formation is present, dividing the Eagle Ford Formation and Buda Limestone undivided formation.

Soils within the project area are derived from a series of floodplain deposits of Quaternary-age alluvium and marl from the Upper and Late Cretaceous formations. Six different soil series are identified within the project and consist of clays and silty clay loams (**Figure 4**). These are Oakalla silty clay loam (0-2% slopes, frequently flooded), Tinn clay (0-1% slopes), Lewisville silty clay (1-3% slopes), Krum clay (1-3% slopes), Eckrant-Rock outcrop association (8-30% slopes), and Medlin-Eckrant association (8-30% slopes).

Oakalla soils are characteristically well drained deep loamy soils that are formed in calcareous loamy alluvium and are commonly encountered within floodplains. Soils from this series are the most common within the project area specifically the Oakalla soils frequently flooded (Ok) type.

Tinn clays are deep poorly drained soils that form in calcareous clayey alluvium and are commonly encountered on floodplains.

Lewisville silty clay is a well-drained, moderately permeable soil that formed in ancient loamy and clayey calcareous sediments.

The Krum series soils are well drained and consist of very deep clayey alluvium, formed in calcareous clayey alluvium derived from interbedded chalk and marl.

Medlin series soils are deep, well drained, and slow permeable soils that formed in clayey marine sediments.

Eckrant series soils are very shallow to shallow, rocky, upland-variety, in-situ soils that immediately overlie limestone bedrock.

2023 EAHCP – San Marcos

Many of the soils within the project area consist of alluvial silty clay loams. These soils have the potential to contain surficial archeological deposits and deeply buried archeological materials. Deeply buried deposits may be well preserved and in good context.

Previous Archeological Studies

A records search was conducted online through the Texas Archeological Sites Atlas (Atlas) maintained by the Texas Historical Commission (THC). The investigators identified all documented cultural resources and past archeological projects within 500 meters (0.31 miles) of the project area. The review identified 68 past archeological projects and 32 archeological sites within 500 meters of the project area (Figures 5-7).

The search revealed that 68 archeological projects consisting of several linear and area surveys, trench monitoring, marine investigations, and archeological excavations had been conducted within 500 meters (0.31 mile) of the project area. **Table 1** illustrates the archeological projects conducted within or immediately adjacent to the project area including the date on which it was surveyed, sponsoring agency, company that conducted the work, type of work conducted, and recommendations.

Date	Atlas Number	TAC Permit	Sponsoring Agency	Company	Type of Work	Recommendations	
-	8500002583	-	Unknown	Unknown	Testing	Unknown	
-	8500002603	-	Unknown	Unknown	Survey	Unknown	
1987	8400002636	-	FHWA	Unknown	Survey	Unknown	
1995	8500009650	1495	Texas Parks and Wildlife Department	Unknown	Reconnaissance	Unknown	
1997	8500000381	-	Southwest Texas State University	Unknown	Survey	Unknown	
1007	850000071	1011		Center for	Testing at	Site eligible for	
1997	850000072	1811	1811 (Archaeological Research	41HY261	NHRP/SAL inclusion.
1999	8400010297	2229	City of San Marcos	Antiquities Planning & Consulting	Survey and trenching of the Spring Lake Water Line	Data recovery recommended for 41HY37, and testing recommended for 41HY306.	
2000	8500012636 8500012860	2406	Texas State University, Center for Archeological Studies	Texas State University, Center for Archeological Studies	Testing at 41HY37	Preservation of Feature 4 and potential use of site for public education purposes.	

Table 1. Archeological survey projects within or immediately adjacent to the project area.

Date	Atlas Number	TAC Permit	Sponsoring Agency	Company	Type of Work	Recommendations
2000	8400010910	2486	Texas State University	Texas State University	Monitoring	Extend boundaries of 41HY165 and 41HY306.
2001	8500013089 8500013292	2396	Texas State University	Center for Archeological Studies	Monitoring of Tree Planting Project	Additional monitoring may be required.
2001	8500017271	2510	Texas State University	Center for Archeological Studies	Testing at 41HY160	Site eligible for NHRP/SAL inclusion.
2002	8400010533	2872	City of San Marcos	SWTSU	Survey	Sites 41HY325 and 41HY261 contain potentially significant deposits of cultural resources and further investigations are recommended to assess their State Antiquities Landmark (SAL) and National Register of Historic Places (NRHP) eligibility potential if they will be adversely impacted.
2004	8500017821	3438	Texas State University	Center for Archeological Studies	Data Recovery	Unknown
2005	8500011620	3885	City of San Marcos	Texas State University	Survey of Rio Vista Park	If future impacts extend beyond 50 cmbs within 41HY393, additional work recommended to investigate potential deeply buried deposits.
2005	8500011891	3847	U.S. Department of Energy	SWCA	Survey	Site 41HY306 appears to extend into the project area, but the extremely sparse

Date	Atlas Number	TAC Permit	Sponsoring Agency	Company	Type of Work	Recommendations
						nature of the artifacts indicates the site does not contain sufficient density or integrity to be considered significant.
2005- 2006	8500011529 8500011625	3870	Texas State University	Texas State University	Survey and Monitoring of a fiber optic line.	Additional work may be required at 41HY160.
2006	8500081099	2623	Texas State University	Center for Archeological Studies	Data Recovery and Analysis at 41HY160 for the Texas Rivers Center	Unknown
2006	8500014677	4015	City of San Marcos	Texas State University	Survey and monitoring at Rio Vista Dam	No further work within the project area.
2007	8500014041	4443	City of San Marcos	Hicks & Company	Survey for pedestrian bridge	Site 41HY432 not NRHP/SAL eligible within project area.
2008	8500015096	4871	Texas State University	Center for Archeological Studies	Survey, monitoring	No further work within the project area.
2008	8500015097	4841	Texas State University	Center for Archeological Studies	Monitoring	No further work within the project area.
2009	8500017240	5416	Texas State University	Center for Archeological Studies	Survey, monitoring	No further work within the project area.
2010	8500019810	5509	Texas State University	Center for Archeological Studies	Survey and monitoring on university properties.	41HY477 and investigated portion of 41HY161 not NRHP/SAL eligible.
2010	8500017827	5634	TxDOT	STARS, LLC	Survey	No further work within the project area.
2011	8500020235	5582	USACE	Center for Archeological Studies	Terrestrial and Underwater Survey of Spring Lake	Mitigation plans devised.

Date	Atlas Number	TAC Permit	Sponsoring Agency	Company	Type of Work	Recommendations
2011	8500018751	5869	City of San Marcos	Center for Archeological Studies	Trench Monitoring for waterline	Disturbed, no sites found.
2011	8500021139	5938	Texas State University	Center for Archeological Studies	Data recovery, monitoring	Additional investigations at 41HY160. This part of the Spring Lake site is a rich source of archaeological evidence with the potential for addressing numerous significant issues in local and regional Central Texas Prehistory.
2011	8500020284	5943	Texas State University	Center for Archeological Studies	Monitoring of storm water outflow and waterline installation and testing at 41HY261	Site 41HY261 is NRHP/SAL eligible and construction impacts should be mitigated.
2011	8500019850	6001	City of San Marcos	Center for Archeological Studies	Linear Survey of .5-mile water main	Site ineligible for NRHP/SAL inclusion.
2011	8500020316	6058	City of San Marcos	Hicks and Company	Survey for street drainage project	No significant sites found.
2012	8500060080	6158	Texas State University	Center for Archeological Studies	Survey and monitoring on university properties	Additional investigations recommended within updated 41HY161 site boundary.
2012	8500025739	6208	City of San Marcos, TxDOT	Blanton & Associates, Inc.	Survey	No further work recommended.
2012	8500025290	6365	Edwards Aquifer Authority	AmaTerra Environmental, Inc.	Survey	Additional monitoring may be required.
2013	8500062596	6595	City of San Marcos	Horizon	Survey of proposed hiking trail	Site 41HY489 not SAL eligible within the project area.

Date	Atlas Number	TAC Permit	Sponsoring Agency	Company	Type of Work	Recommendations
2013	8500059991	6679	City of San Marcos	Center for Archeological Studies	Monitoring of HCP locations within City Park	Further work at 41HY319 recommended for impacts greater than 2 feet.
2013	8500060443	6444	Texas State University	Center for Archeological Studies	Monitoring	No further work recommended.
2014	8500066330	6202	City of San Marcos	Center for Archeological Studies	Monitoring of Riverside Drive Reconstruction Project	The city should continue to coordinate all potential impacts to 41HY261 with the THC.
2014	8500061872	6307	City of San Marcos	Cox McLain	Survey and monitoring of Sessom Creek Wastewater Improvement Project	Further work conducted at 41HY161 under other permits, no further work recommended elsewhere in the project area.
2014	8500059991	6679	City of San Marcos	Center for Archeological Studies	Monitoring of Mechanical Excavation for HCP	Additional survey recommended.
2015	8500080092	7155	Texas State University	Center for Archeological Studies	Archeological Monitoring of Trenching at Plaza Park.	No further work recommended.
2016	8500081478	7132	Texas State University	Center for Archeological Studies	Survey, monitoring	CAS continues to recommend that the University avoid adversely impacting SALs 41HY160 and 41HY161, as well as sites 41HY37 and 41HY447. If avoidance of intact features and deposits of these sites is not possible, then additional work is

Date	Atlas Number	TAC Permit	Sponsoring Agency	Company	Type of Work	Recommendations
						recommended to offset the potential loss of information.
2017	8500081073	7880	TxDOT	AmaTerra	Survey along IH-35 for roadway improvements between SH80 to RM 12.	Archeologists revisited Site 41HY261 and newly recorded Site 41HY534. No further work recommended.
2017	8500081404	7884	City of San Marcos	Center for Archeological Studies	Survey at Ramon Lucio Park	CAS recommends full regulatory clearance for the installation of all of the proposed lighting features.
2017	8500080733 8500080734 8500080735 8500080736	7900	Texas State University	Center for Archeological Studies	Survey, monitoring	CAS recommends that the boundaries of SAL 41HY161 be extended to include this location. Future developments in this area involving ground disturbance at any depth are expected to impact cultural resources and should be coordinated with the THC. If the University or the Capital Improvements Program, City of San Marcos (COSM) propose such developments, CAS recommends Phase II Archaeological testing to determine the potential significance, contextual integrity, and

Date	Atlas Number	TAC Permit	Sponsoring Agency	Company	Type of Work	Recommendations
						spatial extent of the archaeological deposits. CAS strongly recommends that the University continue to coordinate all future developments in areas within or near the current boundaries of SALs 41HY160 and 41HY161.
2017	8500080518	8158	Hays County	Cox McLain Environmental Consulting, Inc.	Survey for proposed improvements along Lime Kiln Road	No further work recommended.
2018	8500080999	8332	City of San Marcos	Center for Archeological Studies	Monitoring and Limited Survey Investigations 41HY261 and 41HY141 for the Cheatham Street Waterline Improvements Project	CAS recommends that no further archaeological investigations are necessary for the CSWIP. However, it is recommended that the City continue to coordinate any developments planned within or in the vicinity of the sites with the THC prior to undertaking development. Additionally, future Areas of Potential Effect(s) (APE) should be carefully evaluated to determine whether they have a high probability to contain intact

Date	Atlas Number	TAC Permit	Sponsoring Agency	Company	Type of Work	Recommendations
						archaeological deposits.
2019	8500081154	8609	City of San Marcos	AmaTerra	Pedestrian and backhoe survey along Purgatory Creek for proposed flood control improvements.	Archeologists revisited 41HY135 and newly recorded Sites 41HY551, 41HY552, and 41HY553. Sites 41HY135 and 41HY553 recommended for avoidance.
2019	8500081286	8867	City of San Marcos	AmaTerra	Survey for the EAHCP's and City of San Marcos's proposed Sessom Creek Stream Restoration Project (Phases 1 and 2; see Attachment 1, Item 7)	Project area determined to be devoid of archeological deposits. No further work recommended in Phase 1 and 2 footprints.
2019	8500082219	8766	Texas State University	Center for Archeological Studies	Archeological monitoring for the development of the Texas State multisport recreational fields	Cultural deposits associated with 41HY306 were recorded. No further work recommended.
2022	8500082273	30620	USACE	AmaTerra Environmental, Inc.	Survey for the proposed Riparian Restoration Project	AmaTerra recommends avoidance of specific areas within sites 41HY164, 41HY166, and 41HY167 is warranted and no further work is recommended elsewhere in the project area.

Thirty-two archeological sites have been recorded within 500 meters (**Figures 5-7**). Of the 32 sites identified, 22 are located within or immediately adjacent to the project area. A brief description of the 22 adjacent sites is presented below. **Table 2** illustrates those nearest sites' distance from water, size, temporal setting, and NRHP/SAL listing potential/status.

2023 EAHCP – San Marcos

41HY37 was recorded in 1979 as a prehistoric campsite and historic homestead site. Portions of the General Edward Burleson home were intact at the time of recording and a reconstruction of the original structure is now present on the site. Subsequent site revisits document prehistoric lithic debris and stone tools at the site location. Depths of cultural material at the site extend to depths of approximately 2.4 meters. Site 41HY37 was listed as a SAL in 1999.

41HY133 was recorded in 1977 as an open campsite and midden on a knoll on the west bank of the San Marcos River. Material recorded at the site includes lithic debris, cores, a scraper, an Ensor point, burned bone, and burned limestone. The site had been partially disturbed by a sewer line and activity within the park where the site is located. Site 41HY133 was listed as a SAL in 1987.

41HY134 was recorded in 1977 as an open campsite on the right bank of the San Marcos River just upstream from the IH 35 bridge. No site dimensions were provided on the site form. Site 41HY134 was listed as a SAL and eligible for the NRHP in 1987.

41HY135 was recorded in 1977 as an open campsite on a prominent knoll on the bank the confluence of Purgatory Creek and San Marcos River. No site dimensions were provided on the site form. Site 41HY135 was listed as a SAL in 1987.

41HY141 was recorded in 1977 as an open campsite and midden eroding from a road cut in San Marcos City Park at the south end of the bridge on Houston Street crossing the San Marcos River. No site dimensions were provided on the site form. Site 41HY141 was listed as a SAL and eligible for the NRHP in 1987.

41HY147 was recorded in 1979 as a multicomponent underwater site containing prehistoric lithics and artifacts associated with a mill site from the mid-19th century. The site is in Spring Lake of the San Marcos River, near the west bank. No site dimensions were provided on the site form. A Pedernales point, and an 1860-1870 nickel were found at the site providing dates for the site. Site 41HY147 was listed as a SAL in 1999.

Site	Est. distance from water	Size	Temporal setting	Listing potential
41HY37	On the bank of Spring Lake	425 x 150 m	Prehistoric Campsite and historic remains associated with ruins of a house	Listed SAL in 1999; NRHP Eligible
41HY133	Along the west side of the San Marcos River	Not stated	Prehistoric campsite and Midden	Listed SAL in 1987; NRHP Eligible
41HY134	Along the west side of the San Marcos River	Not stated	Prehistoric open campsite	Listed SAL in 1987; NRHP Eligible
41HY135	At the confluence of Purgatory Creek and the San Marcos River (on banks)	Not stated	Unknown prehistoric campsite	Listed as SAL in 1987

Table 2. Recorded archeological sites within or immediately adjacent to the project components.

Site	Est. distance from water	Size	Temporal setting	Listing potential
41HY141	Approximately 80 m west of the San Marcos River	Not Stated	Prehistoric open campsites and midden	Listed SAL in 1987; NRHP Eligible
41HY147	Underwater site at Spring Lake of the San Marcos River near the west bank	Not stated	Multi-component. Transitional Archaic to 19 th century historic	Listed as SAL in 1999
41HY160	On the bank of Spring Lake	114,667 m ²	Paleoindian to Late Prehistoric campsite; 19 th -20 th century historic	Listed SAL in 1999; NRHP Eligible
41HY161	Edge of site boundary extends to riverbank	120 x 250 m	Multi-component; Unknown prehistoric to historic	Listed SAL in 1987
41HY164	Along the San Marcos River	Not stated	Thompson's Dam and Millrace Site	Contributing resource to the Thompson-Cape Dam and Ditch Engineering Structure Location-Restricted National Register Property
41HY165	On the bank of Spring Lake	35,970 m ²	Paleoindian to historic	Research potential high, considered eligible for SAL and NRHP listing
41HY166	On the west bank of the San Marcos River	330 x 180 m	Late to Transitional Archaic, buried occupation site with temporal diagnostics and one observed buried hearth feature.	Currently listed as "undetermined" but recommended for avoidance below 555- foot elevation contour line.
41HY261	On the east bank of the San Marcos River	50,000 m ²	Historic Dam and Millrace and prehistoric occupation	Listed as SAL in 2013
41HY306	Spring fed creek, 100 m to the west	200m NW- SE; unknown NE-SW	Prehistoric Campsite	NRHP eligible
41HY319	On the east bank of the San Marcos River	45 x 60 m	Unknown prehistoric lithic scatter	Not considered eligible
41HY425	On the west bank of the San Marcos River	100 x 40 m	Historic Scatter	Not considered eligible
41HY432	On the east bank of the San Marcos River	30 x 30 m	Unknown prehistoric scatter	Listed as SAL in 1987

Site	Est. distance from water	Size	Temporal setting	Listing potential
41HY484	San Marcos River and Spring Lake 0.5 km to the SE	342 yards SW-NE; 0.25 km NW-SE	Historic midden, prehistoric lithic scatter	Not considered eligible within ROW
41HY485	Approximately 50 m west of the San Marcos River			Ineligible
41HY489	On the east bank of the San Marcos River	570 x 200 m		Not considered eligible
41HY527	San Marcos River 700 m east82,000 sq ftHistoric artifact scatter, prehistoric lithic scatter		Not considered eligible	
41HY534	San Marcos River 25 m east	60 x 12 m	Prehistoric lithic debitage and faunal bone fragments	Not considered eligible within ROW
41HY586	On the east bank of the San Marcos River	100 x 60 m	Historic campsite remnants: boat ramp, barbeque, and picnic area	Not considered eligible

41HY160 was recorded in 1983 as a prehistoric campsite with a historic component. Artifacts recorded at the site include lithic debris and tools, ground stone artifacts, bone tools and faunal bone fragments, charcoal, and prehistoric ceramics. Subsequent revisits to site 41HY160 expanded the site boundary and documented significant historic deposits in addition to deposits of prehistoric cultural material which included Paleoindian materials. Site deposits potentially extend beyond 2.8 meters in depth. Site 41HY160 was listed as a SAL in 1999.

41HY161, also known as the Ice House Site, was originally recorded in 1979 (although the first site form on record dates to 1990). Little information is contained in the first site form, although the site is considered eligible for NRHP listing. A revisit site form dated April of 2010 discusses a multi-component site consisting of mixed historic and prehistoric components. Test units were excavated and produced 19th century and modern ceramics, nails, hardware, and unknown metal, debitage, a biface, snail and mussel shell, window glass, vessel glass, brick, and buttons. The size of the site was recorded as nine meters x 11 meters and up to 90 centimeters below surface (cmbs). The two excavated test units served to expand the original dimensions of 41HY161 to include this latest information. Another site revisit conducted in October 2010 further expanded the site dimensions to 120 meters x 250 meters. Site 41HY161 was revisited by AmaTerra in 2013 during a survey; results from a backhoe trench placed outside the recorded boundary expanded the site boundary to include the trench location. Site 41HY161 was listed as a SAL in 1987.

41HY164 was recorded in 1983 as the remains of the Thompson's Dam and Millrace site. The site was identified by the presence of the original dam, the artificial sluiceway, and the concrete foundation to the millwheel. Other structures were formerly present in the site area, but no surface expressions of those structures remain. The only prehistoric artifact documented at the site was a Travis dart point. Site 41HY164 was revisited in 2022 and shovel tests determined that site deposits may extend to 60

cmbs (Carter et al. 2022). The site is part of the Thompson-Cape Dam and Ditch Engineering Structure National Register District (Location Restricted).

41HY165 is a prehistoric open campsite located along the southeast shore of Spring Lake. The site was the subject of a Southwest Texas State University field school in 1984. The site contained chert flakes and bifaces and may be an extension of 41HY161 or 41HY47, as well as possibly extending into the lake. A portion of the site has most likely been buried by the construction of the dam. The original recordation recommended further work and suggested that the site may be eligible for NRHP and SAL listing and was registered as a SAL in 1999. A 2011 revisit recorded a burned rock midden, occupations site and lithic scatter at 41HY165. The site was described as a multicomponent site with artifacts representing continuous episodes of habitation extending from the Paleoindian period to the historic era. The 2011 site form suggests the site measures 35,970 square meters and deposits up to 280 cmbs, with approximately 75% of the site remaining intact. The research value of 41HY165 is considered extremely high and in addition to its SAL listing, the site is considered eligible for NRHP inclusion.

41HY166 is a prehistoric-age occupation site observed between 10 and 150 centimeters below the ground surface. The site was first recorded in 1984 as part of the Texas Parks and Wildlife Department's Fish Hatchery project. The investigators dug five backhoe trenches and four shovel tests during their site investigations and recovered two dart points (Montell and Ensor) and a potential Castroville or Montell point preform among the lithic debris. The site recorder noted observing a buried, intact hearth feature at 140 centimeters below the surface that included burned rock, lithic debriage, charcoal, and faunal remains. The site's NRHP and SAL eligibility is listed as "undetermined," but the recorder recommended that future construction avoid the portions of the site that were below the 555-foot elevation contour where the deposits were seemingly intact. Site 41HY166 was revisited in 2022 and a trench excavated during that effort recorded cultural deposits to a depth of 180 cmbs with the greatest density of material recorded between zero and 140 cmbs (Carter et al. 2022). The archaeologists concurred with the earlier evaluation of the site's potential SAL and NRHP eligibility and avoidance was recommended within the area where the proposed project overlapped with the site area.

41HY261 is a historic dam and millrace that was built atop a prehistoric occupation site. The prehistoric occupation is estimated to date between the Late Paleoindian and Late Prehistoric. The historic component of the site was reported to have been constructed around 1880. The depths of cultural deposits at the site are estimated to extend to depths of six to 20 feet. In 1994 the site was estimated to be approximately 90% intact, though that percentage varies between site revisits. The site was listed as a SAL in 2013 and is considered eligible for NRHP listing.

41HY306 was recorded in 1999 as a prehistoric campsite located on an alluvial flood terrace of the San Marcos River, a 2000 site revisit measured the site as approximately 20,000 square meters and observed cultural material up to three meters below the surface. A 2011 site revisit states that the site was 95% intact. The site was listed as a SAL in 1999 and eligible for NRHP listing.

41HY319 is a lithic scatter of unknown age located on the east bank of the San Marcos River. The site is recorded as being 2,773 square meters and roughly 30% intact. A 2010 site revisit reduced the size

2023 EAHCP – San Marcos

of the site to 45 meters x 60 meters with deposits being roughly up to 120 cmbs. The 2010 site form suggests site 41HY319 is not eligible for SAL or NRHP listing.

41HY425, also known as Roger's River Resort, was recorded in 2006 as an early 20th century historic scatter containing features and artifacts associated with the resort. The resort was operational from 1912 to the 1950's. Artifacts recorded at the site included glass, historic ceramic, and floor tiles. Archeologists also recorded three in situ foundations, another with a structure (an operable park ranger substation), three additional removed foundations, and two foundations encountered in a backhoe trench. Each of these features were spaced approximately two meters apart. The site is approximately 100 x 40 meters and extends to 110 cmbs. In 2007 the site was determined to be ineligible for SAL or NRHP listing.

41HY432 is a low density prehistoric lithic and burned rock scatter found on the east bank of the San Marcos River. The site is approximately 30 meters x 30 meters and has deposits between 10 and 70 cmbs. No diagnostics were encountered at the time of recordation and the site is not considered eligible for SAL or NRHP listing.

41HY484 was recorded in 2010 as a historic midden and prehistoric lithic scatter located within a wooded area on top of a narrow ridge and continues down slope measuring 342 yards southwest by northeast and 0.25 kilometers northwest by southeast. Site 41HY484 is not listed as a SAL and was considered ineligible as an NRHP within the project right-of-way.

41HY485 was recorded in 2011 as a prehistoric lithic scatter and campsite at depth between 20 to 100 centimeters below the surface of a terrace above the San Marcos River measuring 25 meters by 10 meters. Site 41HY485 in not listed as a SAL and was considered ineligible for the NRHP in 2011.

41HY489 was recorded in 2013 as a Late 19th to mid-20th century midden, and prehistoric lithic scatter of unknown age, located on an alluvial terrace east of the San Marcos River. The site is approximately 570 x 200 meters and contains deposits extending down to 45 cmbs. The site recorded lithic flakes, a burnt rock, a burnt bone fragment, whiteware sherds, wire nails, a belt buckle, ferrous metal, amethyst glass shards, aqua glass shards, green glass shards, and colorless glass shards. Site 41HY489 is considered undetermined for SAL and NRHP eligibility.

41HY527 was recorded in 2012 as a historic artifact scatter and lithic scatter located on the top and side of a relatively flat-topped hill on the south side of the Sessom Creek drainage. The site measures approximately 82,000 square feet and contains deposits extending from the surface down to 20 cmbs. The site recorded lithic debitage, bottles, cans, structural fragments, mattress springs, vehicle license plates, appliances, tires, and various metal scraps. Site 41HY527 is considered undetermined for SAL and NRHP eligibility.

41HY534 was recorded in 2010 as a prehistoric occupation site located on a terrace west of the San Marcos River. The site measures approximately 60 meters by 12 meters and contains deposits from 10 to 80 cmbs. The site recorded lithic debitage and faunal bone in disturbed soils also containing modern glass. Site 41HY534 is not listed as a SAL and is considered ineligible for the NRHP within the project right-of-way.

2023 EAHCP - San Marcos

41HY586 was recorded in 2022 as a 20th century recreation area known as Cape's Camp. The site is located on the east bank of the river/millrace adjacent to Thompson's Dam. No deposits were recorded at the site, but remnants of a boat ramp, a brick barbeque, and a picnic area were recorded. The site was recommended not eligible as a SAL or to the NRHP due to a lack of research potential.

Identified Historic Resources

In addition to the numerous archeological sites and surveys recorded in the project vicinity, six properties listed on the NRHP, one NRHP historic district, five Recorded Texas Historic Landmarks (RTHL), and several Official Texas Historical Markers (OTHM) are located within 500 meters of the project location (**Figures 5-7**). None of these properties are within or adjacent to the project area; the closest is the RTHL Fish Hatchery Office Building, approximately 280 feet west of the San Marcos River across University Drive (see RTHL #3). Due to the nature of the proposed work, project historians recommend the Area of Potential Effect (APE) for historic properties be limited to the proposed project area footprint.

Regulatory Recommendations

Through this letter, AmaTerra requests the THC's concurrence with the recommendations provided for compliance with the ACT and in anticipation of potential Section 106. Based upon a review of the potential impacts associated with the proposed EAHCP, AmaTerra recommends that several project components have minimal potential to impact historic properties and/or SALs and should be cleared to proceed with no further cultural resource coordination required. If project plans remain as they are currently understood, shallow impacts from revegetation efforts in the vicinity of known sites or previously unsurveyed areas may impact adjacent archeological resources (Items 1, 2 and 5). Since all projects which have potential to impact sub-surface cultural deposits are incidental and will be shallow (less than one foot in depth), public outreach is recommended in lieu of archeological survey for all plantings under five gallons in size. This includes the portion of Item 2 located south of IH-35 that corresponds with the COSM/USACE RRP described above and coordinated independently under (THC Ref# 202203827; Permit 30620). As alternative mitigation for potential isolated and limitedscale incidental impacts stemming from vegetation control and planting activities, EAA plans to continue to distribute the public brochure it developed in 2021 (see THC Ref. #202107829) to riparian planting crews. In addition, the City will notify the THC if plantings larger than five gallons are anticipated in any location. A summary of project-specific recommendations is provided in Attachment 1 below.

Thank you for your time in reviewing this submittal. If you have any questions or wish to discuss this further, please feel free to contact us at 512-329-0031. You may also reach Andrew Milam at amilam@amaterra.com or Kurt Korfmacher at kkorfmacher@amaterra.com.

Sincerely,

Lucturer Nilem

2023 EAHCP – San Marcos

Andrew W. Milam Project Archeologist

Kurt Korfmacher Project Historian

Cc: Kristina Tolman, Edwards Aquifer Authority; Mark Enders, City of San Marcos

Reference Cited

Carter, Matthew R., Katherine Seikel and Matthew Larsen

2022 Archaeological Survey of the Proposed Riparian Restoration Project along the San Marcos River, San Marcos, Texas. Archeological Survey Report. AmaTerra Environmental Project 047-005. Austin, Texas.

Miller, Mason, and Kurt Korfmacher

2019 Cultural Resources Survey for the Proposed San Marcos River Habitat Conservation Plan Sessom Creek Stream Restoration Project, Hays County, Texas. Archeological Survey Short Report. AmaTerra Environmental, Inc., Austin, Texas.


Figure 1. Project location map (aerial photo base).



Figure 2. Project location map (USGS topo base).



Figure 3. Map depicting the underlying geology of the project area.



Figure 4. Soil map of the project area.

		Reference	Atlas	
Map ID	Name	Number	Number	Date Listed
P1	Belger-Cahill Lime Kiln	83004490	2083004490	8/26/1983
P2	Moore Grocery Company	83004506	2083004506	8/26/1983
P3	Green and Faris Buildings	83004498	2083004498	8/26/1983
P4	Hardy-Williams Building	83004499	2083004499	8/26/1983
P5	Cock House	73001966	2073001966	4/2/1973
P6	Hays County Courthouse	80004134	2080004134	5/23/1980
P7	San Marcos Milling Company	83003799	2083003799	12/1/1983
	Main Building, Southwest			
P8	Texas Normal School	83004505	2083004505	8/26/1983
Р9	McKie-Bass Building	83003797	2083003797	12/1/1983
P10	Hutchison House	83004503	2083004503	8/26/1983

National Register Property Key for Figures 5 - 7

Historical Marker Key for Figures 5 - 7

Map ID	Number	Marker Name	Year
OTHMs			
А	10272	First National Bank of San Marcos	1979
В	10280	Hays County Courthouses	1974
С	10283	Hutchison, Beverly	1968
D	10292	Lime Kiln	1976
Е	10303	Mexican War Camp	1972
F	10304	Mission San Francisco Xavier de los Delores	1936
G	10305	Moon, William W.	1975
Н	10311	Post San Marcos	1973
J	10325	San Marcos Springs	1971
K	10331	Thompson's Islands	1993
L	10323	San Marcos Mill Tract	1986
М	10324	San Marcos National Fish Hatchery	1968
Ν	10274	Site of Hays County's First Public Building	1967
Р	10307	Old Main	1963
Q	14273	Harper's Hall, Site of	1993
RTHLs			
1	10245	Burleson Home	1967
2	10252	Cock, Charles S.	1968
3	10275	Fish Hatchery Office Building	1982
4	10302	Merriman, Eli T.	1965
5	16941	Southside School	2011

Attachment 1: Summary of EAHCP Components within the San Marcos Area and Regulatory Recommendations*

Item	Item Title (EAHCP Section)	Location	Work Summary	Estimated Impacts	Archeological Resource Recommendations	Historic Resource Recommendations
1	Texas Wild Rice Enhancement (5.3.1/5.4.1)	San Marcos River (from Cypress Island to IH-35)	No active planting is planned for 2023. However, supplemental plantings will occur in areas denuded by flooding or low flow. Along with the normal effort to prevent regrowth of non-natives throughout the system, extra care will go towards preventing regrowth of non-natives within current Texas wild-rice (TWR) stands. From Cypress Island to IH- 35, TWR will be encouraged to expand naturally through the continued removal of non-native species within and around the perimeter of TWR stands.	Non-native plant species will be removed by hand, collected, checked for trapped fauna, and deposited at a composting facility. Trapped fauna will be returned to the river. TWR will be transplanted and grown from mature seeds. Transplanted TWR plants will be planted in 8-inch/one-gallon pots and replanted along the waterway after their roots develop. Expected depths of impacts are approximately one foot along the edge of the water body.	All work performed will be within the banks of the river channel with a maximum depth of impact at approximately one foot. Continued public outreach brochure use recommended in lieu of archeological survey.	All proposed work would be underwater. With no potential to impact historic properties, <i>no survey recommended</i> .

Item	Item Title (EAHCP Section)	Location	Work Summary	Estimated Impacts	Archeological Resource Recommendations	Historic Resource Recommendations
2	Control of Non- Native Plant Species (5.3.8/5.4.3.1/ 5.4.12)	San Marcos River	Non-native aquatic and littoral plant species will be removed and replaced by native plants after a period of monitoring.	Divers manually remove invasive species and plant native plant species in selected aquatic and littoral areas. Non-native species, including hydrilla and hygrophila, will be removed by hand, collected, checked for trapped fauna, and deposited at a compositing facility. The removed plants will be replaced with native plant species, following the restoration goals outlined in the EAHCP. Where it is proposed, planting methodology is similar to the proposed TWR enhancement described above. Expected depths of impacts are approximately one foot along the edge of the water body. Spring Lake, Spring Lake Dam, Sewell Park, Below Sewell, City Park, Lower City Park, and Hopkins/Snake Island reaches shoreline control areas are in maintenance stage, meaning periodic spot-removals. Bicentennial reach, from Snake Island to the railroad tracks above Cypress Island will transition to a Recovery Zone which will require significant effort to prevent hydrilla from reestablishing. Though the primary Work Zone for 2023 will consist of the section starting at the train tracks above Cypress Island to Rio Vista Falls, with additional removal occurring in the Upper and Lower I-35 reaches as needed. Under the EAHCP, all work in these areas will be limited to invasive species removal. Any planting efforts will focus on species diversity, species habitat preferences, and available fountain darter habitat at the time of planting.	The replacement of non-native flora with native flora has limited potential to impact archeological deposits. Public outreach recommended in lieu of archeological survey, except where avoidance is recommended at Thompson's /Cape Dam.	No listed or eligible properties present at these locations. Potential to impact historic properties would be minimal; <i>no survey recommended</i> .

Item	Item Title (EAHCP Section)	Location	Work Summary	Estimated Impacts	Archeological Resource Recommendations	Historic Resource Recommendations
3	Management of Floating Vegetation Mats and Litter (5.3.3/5.4.3)	San Marcos River Spring Lake	Management of floating vegetation mats and litter on TWR stands and other aquatic vegetation.	Nuisance floating plant species, including algae, are removed from Spring Lake and TWR stands in the San Marcos River at specified intervals. Inorganic litter will also be collected from the waterways and adjacent public lands. No subsurface impacts are anticipated.	As no subsurface impacts are anticipated during the collection of floating plant species and litter, <i>no</i> <i>further work is recommended.</i>	All proposed work would be within the banks of the lake and river. With no potential to impact historic properties, <i>no</i> <i>survey recommended</i> .
4	Non-Native Animal Species Control (5.3.5/5.3.9/ 5.4.11/5.4.13)	San Marcos River Spring Lake	Non-native, invasive faunal species (e.g., suckermouth catfish, tilapia, nutria) will be removed from the aquatic ecosystem.	Spear and bow fishing equipment, hand picking, and trapping will be utilized to capture invasive fish, and snails. Captured fauna will be documented and removed and/or euthanized. Methods used in trapping will avoid impacts to resident turtles and other native species. Minimal impact to the shoreline is anticipated.	As no subsurface impacts are anticipated during the capture of invasive faunal species, <i>no further</i> <i>work is recommended.</i>	All proposed work would be within the banks of the lake and river. With no potential to impact historic properties, <i>no</i> <i>survey recommended</i> .
5	Native Riparian Habitat Restoration (5.7.1)	San Marcos River (focusing on Snake Island, tributary hot spots, and City property in the right bank riparian zone)	Native riparian habitat restoration will include the establishment of a water quality buffer and the treatment of non-native growth. It also reduces recreational foot traffic and resultant erosion.	Invasive species will be removed and/or treated using specified herbicides by a licensed herbicide applicator. Native plants propagated at the Discovery Center will be planted by volunteers in treated areas after a period of monitoring. Seedlings for planting will be predominantly held in one-gallon pots. Tree removal will not impact the soil, as the stumps will remain in place, the underlying root structure inhibiting erosion. Anticipated depths of impacts do not reach more than one foot.	Native riparian habitat will require planting native flora and the anticipated depth of impact of planting and cutting trees down to stumps is one foot. <i>Public</i> <i>outreach recommended in lieu</i> <i>of archeological survey.</i>	No listed or eligible properties present at these locations. Replacement of non-native riparian vegetation has minimal potential to impact historic properties; <i>no survey</i> <i>recommended</i> .
6	Management of Recreation in Key Areas (5.3.2/5.4.2)	San Marcos River	Recreation management in key areas to limit impacts of incidental take from recreational activities.	Management strategies include public and school outreach, updating of kiosk signs, video slides, trail maps, EACHP brochures, utilization of park rangers, monitoring for Zebra mussels, and the removal of litter. No subsurface impacts are anticipated.	The update of kiosk signs and trail maps should have no subsurface impact. <i>No further work</i> <i>recommended.</i>	Updating existing signs and trail maps has no potential to impact historic properties; <i>no survey recommended</i> .

Item	Item Title (EAHCP Section)	Location	Work Summary	Estimated Impacts	Archeological Resource Recommendations	Historic Resource Recommendations
7	Impervious Cover and Water Quality Protection (5.7.6)	Sessom Creek	Impervious cover and water quality protection include the implementation of the stream restoration project at Sessom Creek.	Phases I and 2 of the Sessom Creek project have been coordinated with the THC (see Permit 8867). AmaTerra Environmental, Inc. surveyed this area in 2019 and received concurrence that it should proceed with no adverse effects. Construction of Phase 1 began in 2022 and will continue in 2023. Water quality sampling and monitoring prior to construction will set pre-construction parameters, and water quality monitoring will continue to monitor sediment loads in the water.	Phase 1 of the Sessom Creek Project will include the monitoring of sediment loads in the water and construction to stabilize sediment erosion. This area has been previously surveyed in recent years under TAC permit 8867. <i>No</i> <i>further work is recommended</i> .	This project area was previously surveyed by architectural historians in 2019 concurrent with archeological survey; no historic properties identified within the project area. No further survey is recommended.

* Table excludes EAHCP Items 5.3.6/5.4.4 (Sediment Management), 5.3.7 (Designation of Permanent Access Points/Bank Stabilization), 5.3.4 (Prohibition of Hazardous Material Transport), and 5.3.4/5.4.5,8,9/5.7.3,4 (Unfunded Measures) because they are unfunded for this year and are not likely to impact significant cultural resources. Additionally, Item 5.7.5 (Management of HHW) does not include any physical disturbance and is therefore not likely to impact significant cultural resources.

Attachment 2: City of San Marcos 2023 EAHCP Work Plan Document

City of San Marcos/ Texas State University 2023 Work Plan

EAHCP Section	Conservation Measure	Table 7.1	Estimated 2023 Budget					
5.3.1/5.4.1	Texas wild-rice Enhancement	\$100,000	\$10,000 ^A					
5.3.6/5.4.4	Sediment Management	\$25,000 ^B	\$0					
5.3.8/5.4.3.1/5.4.12	Control of Non-Native Plant Species	\$50,000	\$170,000/\$40,000 Total is \$210,000 ^A					
5.3.3/5.4.3	Management of Floating Vegetation Mats and Litter	\$80,000	\$30,000/ \$10,434/ \$6,687 Total is \$47,121 ^A					
5.3.5/5.3.9/5.4.11/5.4.13	Non-Native Species Control	\$35,000	\$23,256 ^A					
5.3.7	Designation of Permanent Access Points/Bank Stabilization	\$20,000	\$0					
5.7.1	Native Riparian Restoration	\$20,000	\$20,000					
5.3.2/5.4.2	Management of Recreation in Key Areas	\$56,000	\$56,000					
5.7.6	Impervious Cover/Water Quality Protection	\$200,000 ^B	\$1,065,048 ^C					
5.7.5	Management of HHW	\$30,000	\$30,000					
5.3.4	Prohibition of Hazardous Material Transport	\$0	\$0					
5.3.4/5.4.5,8,9/5.7.3,4	Unfunded Measures	\$0	\$0					
	Total	\$616,000	\$1,461,425					

2023 City of San Marcos/Texas State University Work Plan Budget

A.) Difference of \$90,000 (Texas wild-rice), \$32,879 (Floating Veg Mats and Litter), and \$11,744 (Non-Native Species Control) will go towards the Control of Non-Native Plants 2023 budget.

B.) Sediment Management funding (\$25,000) has gone towards the Impervious Cover and Water Quality Protection Conservation Measure (5.7.6) per the 2017 Sediment Removal and Impervious Cover/Water Quality Protection nonroutine adaptive management. However, due to over expenditures in 2013, 2014, and 2015, there is no more funding available in the Sediment Management Conservation Measure.

C.) Funding will cover Sessom Creek Phase 1 channel construction and construction administration services. Phase 1 construction started in 2022 and will continue into 2023.

Amendment #	Date EAHCP Committee Approved	Conservation Measure Amended	Y/N Funding Application Change	Funding Application Change (\$)	Date EAA Board Approved	Comments
0	5/19/2022	Original Work Plan	NA	NA	NA	Original Work Plan
1	10/13/2022	Control of Non- Native Plants	Ν	Ν	11/08/2022	Aquatic plant species, <i>Heteranthera dubia</i> and <i>Myriophyllum heterophyllum</i> , were added as approved species for aquatic vegetation restoration.
0	10/13/2022	Original Funding Application	NA	NA	11/8/2022	Original Funding Application

2023 City of San Marcos/TxState Work Plan and Funding Application Amendments

5.3.1/5.4.1 Texas Wild-Rice Enhancement and Restoration

Long-term Objective:

To achieve $8,000 - 15,450 \text{ m}^2$ of Texas wild-rice (TWR) and maintain existing and restored areas of TWR as required by the EAHCP.

Target for 2023:

Due to the exponential growth and expansion of TWR within the San Marcos River over the last several years, no active planting is planned for 2023. However, supplemental plantings will occur in areas denuded by flooding or low-flow. Along with the normal effort to prevent regrowth of non-natives throughout the system, extra care will go towards preventing regrowth of non-natives within current TWR stands. From Cypress Island to IH-35, TWR will be encouraged to expand naturally through the continued removal of non-native species within and around the perimeter of TWR stands. These efforts work towards attaining the 2027 biological goals as shown in **Table 1**.

Table 1. TW	WR areal coverage in	summer 2021 relative to	TWR long-term biological	goals, or EAHCP Table 4-10.

River Reach	Goal Areal Coverage (m²) *	2021 Areal Coverage (m²)	Goal Percent of Reach**	2021 Percent of Reach
Spring Lake	1,000-1,500	115	N/A***	<1
Spring Lake Dam to Rio Vista Dam	5,810-9,245	12,579	83-66	90
Rio Vista Dam to IH-35	910-1,650	954	13-12	6.8
Downstream of IH-35	280-3,055	317	4-22	2.2
TOTALS	8,000-15,450	13,965	100	100

*Represents a range of minimum long-term biological goal areal coverage over different flow conditions. **Represents the percent of the total TWR coverage within that reach

***N/A is the goal reach percentage of total TWR coverage for Spring Lake as defined in EAHCP Table 4-10.

Methodology: The optimal conditions for TWR are sandy to coarse soils with water depths generally greater than 1 meter in areas of higher current velocity. In stands of TWR that have non-native plant species intermixed, the non-natives are removed and the original TWR stand is monitored for natural expansion. Natural expansion refers to a native species' capacity to become reestablished in denuded areas after removal efforts have taken place, which is dependent on the continued maintenance (gardening) of non-native species thereafter. Similarly, for TWR stands adjacent to non-native vegetation; the non-native plants are removed and TWR is planted as necessary.

Removal of non-natives around existing TWR stands occurs by hand, with divers allowing the non-native plants to drift into a seine, bag or catch net set up downstream, if river access is possible, or putting them directly into a skiff. The removed vegetation is moved to the shore and

plants are shaken to remove trapped fauna which are documented and returned to the river. The remaining plant matter is then disposed at the City of San Marcos (COSM) or Texas State University Spring Lake composting facilities when appropriate. Denuded areas are monitored, and any regrowth of non-native plants is removed. If TWR does not expand, other natives may be planted to secure the area (5.3.8/5.4.3/5.4.12).

The contractor will grow TWR when necessary, from both tillers and seeds provided by U.S. Fish and Wildlife staff at the San Marcos Aquatic Research Center (SMARC). SMARC collects mature seeds from the panicle by gently pulling upwards until seeds are released. Mature seeds are plump, filled out, and either green or brown in color. Seeds are then placed in a plastic bag during collection and counted and potted by MCWE within 3-6 months following collection. TWR seeds are placed on top of soil in 8-inch pots and covered with pea gravel to secure the seeds from floating in the water. Seeds are spread out evenly within each pot, and gently pushed into the saturated soil and gravel mixture. Once TWR seeds have germinated they will be separated out and planted in a similar manner as TWR tillers. Tillers of TWR are collected by removing them from floating vegetation mats or from fragments attached to mature plants in the river. TWR tillers are transported to the raceways located at the Freeman Aquatic Biology (FAB) and potted in soil that consists of a bulk mixture containing topsoil and mushroom compost. TWR tillers are planted in 8-inch pots with the soil being highly saturated with water so that the tillers can be inserted without causing damage to the roots. Density of fragments per pot is generally 3-5 individuals. The pots are placed int o the FAB raceways with pumps generating current velocity over the newly planted fragments. Water in the raceways is sourced from a nearby Edwards Aquifer artesian well. Plants remain in the raceways until roots are firmly established in the pots.

The process of planting begins by transporting potted TWR individuals from the FAB to the planting site. A diver and a handler carry the plants to the designated section, and while the diver digs a hole in the substrate using a trowel, the handler gives the diver a pot of TWR. The contents are removed from the pot and inserted into the hole before returning the empty pot back to the handler for collection. The diver works downstream to upstream in a linear pattern of planting. Individuals are placed about 0.5 meters apart. This process is adjusted as needed to meet the varying conditions of each planting site.

Production of plants at the FAB is incorporated into this Work Plan budget (TWR Enhancement & Removal of non-natives). These methodologies may be adjusted as more is learned about collection and planting procedures.

Monitoring:

All newly planted areas are monitored to evaluate success rate. Both planting of TWR and removal of non-natives are mapped and quantified via GIS techniques. System-wide TWR

coverage is monitored annually through the EAA Biological Monitoring program, with the data collected being used to evaluate TWR coverage and identify areas of concern.

Budget: <u>Table 7.1:</u> \$100,000

Estimated 2023 budget: \$10,000

5.3.6/5.4.4 Sediment Management

The City of San Marcos (COSM) and Texas State University are partnering to remove sediment from the river bottom in support of the native aquatic vegetation planting program from Spring Lake to IH-35.

Long-term Objective:

The removal of sediment in support of native aquatic planting activities has proven to be both ineffective and expensive. From 2013 to 2015, three of the six required sites have received only 158 m³ of sediment removal costing approximately \$555,000. In 2017, an Adaptive Management Proposal to amend this conservation measure in the EAHCP was approved.

The Sediment Removal and Impervious Cover/Water Quality Protection are combined into one conservation measure that addresses sediment control within the upper San Marcos River watershed to minimize sediment and other contaminated runoff. The primary focus is the Sessom Creek watershed, which contributes a heavy load of sediment during rain events; in the 2015 October flood, Sessom Creek dumped sediment on TWR stands and other native aquatic plant stands down to City Park.

The COSM will provide; (1) design of wastewater relocation and erosion/sediment control in Sessom Creek; (2) Sessom wastewater line rehab and relocation; and (3) construction of stormwater control (SWC) features and associated land management tasks that control erosion, minimize sedimentation, and reduce pollutants in the Sessom Creek watershed.

Target for 2023:

See discussion in Section 5.7.6 Impervious Cover/Water Quality Protection

Method:

See discussion in Section 5.7.6 Impervious Cover/Water Quality Protection

Budget:

Table 7.1: \$25,000*

Estimated budget for 2023: \$0

*Sediment Management funding (\$25,000) has gone towards the Impervious Cover and Water Quality Protection Conservation Measure (5.7.6) per the 2017 Sediment Removal and Impervious Cover/Water Quality Protection nonroutine adaptive management. However, due to increased expenditures in 2013, 2014, and 2015, there is no more funding available in the Sediment Management Conservation Measure.

5.3.8/5.4.3/5.4.12 Control of Non-Native Plant Species

Long-term Objective:

To decrease the density of non-native aquatic and littoral plants or eliminate, if possible, through monitored removal in and along the San Marcos River to enhance fountain darter habitat by increasing the distribution of native aquatic flora as assigned by the submerged aquatic vegetation (SAV) nonroutine adaptive management long-term goals.

Target for 2023:

In 2023, the removal of non-natives and planting of natives will adopt the following strategy to ensure best use of EAHCP funds and facilitate the achievement of long-term biological goals.

Aquatic non-native plant removal

Hygrophila will be removed by the contractor following the top-down protocol established with *Hydrilla* removal. This will now coincide with *Hydrilla* removal, starting below the section finished in 2022 and continuing downstream for the duration of the project.

Figure 1 (below) represents the 2023 Work Zone for removal of non-native aquatic plant species. The 2022 Work Zones will be reclassified as Recovery Zones in 2023. These Recovery Zones will be managed similarly to that described in the TWR enhancement and restoration measure (5.3.1/5.4.1) so that native species can expand either naturally or via planting while continuing to remove any regrowth of non-natives. Any Maintenance Zones will be regularly swept for remnant *Hydrilla* or *Hygrophila* regrowth and removed as necessary. The contractors will continue utilizing extended hours from May to October to take advantage of the longer periods of daylight, warmer weather, and to avoid hours of heavy river recreation.

To prevent regrowth, the top priority for 2023 will be maintaining the 2022 Work Zones due to the large dense areas of *Hydrilla* that have been removed and the overall extent of reaches that *Hygrophila* was removed. In 2022, a large area of *Hydrilla* was removed between Purgatory Creek and Cypress Island along with significant removal of *Hygrophila* in the side channel across from Purgatory Creek. This area will be regularly worked from upstream to downstream via snorkeling and SCUBA diving to prevent regrowth. Secondary priority will be making downstream progress in the new 2023 Work Zones which will follow the method of removal from upstream to downstream with the goal of thorough removal of both *Hydrilla* and *Hygrophila* from Cypress Island railroad bridge to Rio Vista Falls. We will also perform occasional maintenance around TWR stands below I-35, if necessary, to allow for further expansion of TWR coverage in that section.

The practice of removing non-native aquatic plant stands from upstream to downstream is reducing labor hours spent on gardening unwanted regrowth that results from non-native plant

fragments drifting from upstream stands that reestablish in denuded areas and actively compete with newly planted or established native plant stands. This method also allows for increased natural expansion of native species in the absence of non-native species. Large homogenous stands of non-native aquatic vegetation will be targeted. Non-natives will be removed from mixed stands of native and non-native species and the area will be monitored for any regrowth. The plant species will be prioritized to provide the most diversity possible after removal of non-native species, if necessary, depending on available habitat and history of the plant species' success in the available habitat. If the prioritized species has not been successful in the habitat type to be planted, another species may be planted in its place. Plantings will not occur in areas impacted by intense recreation.



Figure 1. Proposed work zones for 2023 include the maintenance of *Hygrophila* and *Hydrilla* in Spring Lake, Spring Lake Dam, Sewell Park, Below Sewell, City Park, Lower City Park, and Hopkins/Snake Island reaches, a

Recovery Zone of the Bicentennial reach, and an active Work Zone starting at the railroad bridge just above the Cypress Island reach and continuing down to I-35.

Methodology:

Non-Native Aquatic Plant Removal

The focus will be to eliminate dense stands of non-native species that then allow for native species to maintain and/or increase their coverage through natural expansion. Any planting efforts will focus on species diversity, species habitat preferences, and available fountain darter habitat at the time of planting.

Non-native aquatic plants will be removed and replaced with native aquatic plants in association with TWR enhancement as described in Conservation Measure 5.3.1/5.4.1. Divers remove nonnative aquatic plants by hand. The removed vegetation is allowed to drift down and is captured by a seine, bag, catch net, or transferred directly into a skiff where access and conditions allow. Any removed vegetation is shaken to remove trapped fauna which are returned to the river before being disposed of at the COSM or Spring Lake composting facilities. Denuded areas are then monitored for subsequent regrowth of non-native species, which are maintained as needed.

Hydrilla and *Hygrophila* are now being systematically removed from upstream to downstream. Reaches that have been thoroughly cleared of large patches of these species for two or more years are considered Maintenance Zones while reaches in which large amounts of these species are being removed are designated as Work Zones. A Work Zone in which all Hydrilla and Hygrophila have been thoroughly removed during the previous year are considered a Recovery Zone. These Recovery Zones may still require additional effort to ensure the thorough removal of these species' root systems and tubers. *Hydrilla* tubers can remain viable for multiple years despite being buried over 12 inches beneath the sediment. Downstream reaches with large areas of Hydrilla and Hygrophila are considered future Work Zones. In 2023, Spring Lake, Spring Lake Dam, Sewell Park, Below Sewell, City Park, Lower City Park, and Hopkins/Snake Island reaches will be considered in maintenance condition and Bicentennial reach, from Snake Island to the railroad tracks above Cypress Island will transition to a Recovery Zone (Figure 1). This area will require significant effort to prevent Hydrilla from reestablishing due to its overall abundance in that reach before removal began. The primary Work Zone for 2023 will consist of the section starting at the train tracks above Cypress Island to Rio Vista Falls, with additional removal occurring in the Upper and Lower I-35 reaches as needed. The extent of 2023 Work Zone should not exceed the maximum removal allowable for *Hydrilla* and *Hygrophila* habitat disturbance limits, disturbance estimates will still be maintained at regular intervals.

Hydrilla and *Hygrophila* are removed by hand and, when possible, are collected from the river and transported to either the COSM or MCWE composting facilities. Areas of removal are then

de-rooted, which includes meticulous removal of roots, small plants, and tubers. This process is repeated until no *Hydrilla* or *Hygrophila* are observed. After an area has been effectively de-rooted and no regrowth occurs, native plants are either planted or allowed to populate the cleared areas through natural expansion.

Planting of Native Species

The planting of native species begins once the designation of a Work Zone changes to Recovery Zone, as this maximizes reduction of invasive regrowth and subsequent outbreaks. This is expected to take 3-6 months from when the site is finished as a Work Zone, depending on the density and area of non-natives originally present in the site. Efforts primarily focus on preserving areas with existing native species to allow for the natural expansion of those populations throughout the river system. In addition to the use of natural expansion, areas that have been stripped of all vegetation will be planted with native species best suited to that habitat type while ensuring a high level of biodiversity is maintained overall. The goal provides species presence within all reaches to allow for natural expansion downstream of each population. Plantings will not occur in areas impacted by intense recreation.

Production of native SAV will continue at the FAB at Texas State University as described in the TWR Enhancement section (5.3.1/5.4.1). Fragments and tillers of native aquatic plants removed from floating vegetation mats or from fragments attached to mature plants in the river are used for propagation at the FAB. Funding for the production of SAV at the FAB is incorporated into this Work Plan budget.

Native vegetation species are planted as described in the TWR Enhancement section (5.3.1/5.4.1) using a team that includes one or more divers and handler depending on depth and location. A hole is made in the substrate by a diver using a trowel, the handler gives the diver a pot of native SAV. The contents are removed from the pot and inserted into the hole before returning the empty pot back to the handler for collection. The diver works downstream to upstream in a linear pattern of planting. Individuals are placed approximately 0.25 meters apart and gardened as needed to remove invading plants. This process is adjusted as needed to meet the varying conditions of each planting site and species.

Environmental conditions at the time of planting determine which native species are planted. *Cabomba* and *Sagittaria* have exhibited greater success in finer substrates (silt) with areas of slower moving water. Both can be planted in a range of water depths. However, some reaches are challenging, such as Cypress Island, where only TWR and *Heteranthera* have shown success in outcompeting *Hydrilla*.

In the San Marcos River, *Ludwigia* has been planted in a wide variety of habitat types ranging from areas with shallow depths, high velocities over coarse substrates to areas with slack-water

habitat over silt substrate to determine which habitat results in greatest rates of expansion and persistence. In 2021, *Ludwigia* planting in the Hopkins/Snake Island reach showed significant expansion in both shallow and deep areas. This species shows greater resilience in different flows and depths, if the substrate is appropriate, and is often used if other species fail to expand within the denuded area. *Hygrophila* has been observed to reduce the expansion of two native species: *Ludwigia* and *Potamogeton*. *Potamogeton* is an additional species that has struggled to become established in a few reaches, and coverage decreases past Cypress Island. Like *Ludwigia*, *Potamogeton* has been planted in numerous areas with varying substrate compositions in an attempt to determine the most suitable habitat type. It was observed to exhibit the best growth in the upper reaches with high flow and dense, coarse substrates (gravel/sand and clay).

In 2016, *Hydrocotyle* was accepted as an approved native species to plant in the San Marcos River. *Hydrocotyle*, like *Ludwigia*, can become a littoral species, persisting in areas of shallow water. Therefore, these species are utilized to replant river margins or areas of very shallow water depths or along riverbanks.

On September 14. 2022, the Science Committee approved two new native aquatic plant species, *Heteranthera dubia* and *Myriophyllum heterophyllum*, as acceptable species for submerged aquatic vegetation restoration in the San Marcos River. The contractor will identify planting areas in the IH-35 long-term biological goal reach (LTBG reach) for planting of *Heteranthera* and *Myriophyllum*. The plots will be chosen to offer differing habitat types (depths, substrate, and edge/corridor).

Heteranthera and *Myriophyllum* individuals will be removed and counted from non-LTBG reaches. The removal sites will be tracked via GIS polygons. These individuals will be re-planted at the IH-35 LTBG reach. Planting sites will be tracked via GIS polygons and the number of individuals planted will be adjusted as needed.

Monitoring:

For aquatic plants, newly planted areas are monitored monthly to evaluate success rates. All planted areas are weeded (non-native species removed) and replanted as needed to stabilize the substrate. All planting and removal areas are monitored via quadcopter imagery and/or visual observation. Both planting and removal efforts are mapped and quantified via GIS techniques. Work Zones are separated into reaches to assess changes among and within reaches of the San Marcos River and to identify presence of non-native vegetation and to assess the expansion of native vegetation. SAV coverage is also monitored annually within LTBG reaches through the EAA Biological Monitoring program. The data collected is used to evaluate native SAV coverage and identify areas of concern.

Non-Native Littoral Plant Removal

Removal of littoral plants and other small caliper invasive plants in the riparian zone is also included in this budget. Littoral invasive removal efforts will address seed source and regrowth of invasive plants from above Spring Lake to Stokes Park (Section 5.3.8). Removal efforts will also extend to treat hot spots that contribute to regrowth.

In 2023, removal efforts for littoral invasive species will target areas outside of the USACE project areas. EAHCP contractual work area are shown in **Figures 2 - 4** below. Most work areas are now in maintenance mode (blue) which require periodic regrowth removal. Red areas indicate the need for continued invasive removal.



Figure 2. Spring Lake and Sink Creek Zones

Figure 2:

- The area along Sink Creek upstream of Bert Brown Road has some Chinese Tallow trees. Japanese Honeysuckle and an occasional Elephant Ear can also be found here.
- The western shoreline of Spring Lake is in a maintenance state, but the rest of the hillside has a few remaining invasives, primarily catclaw vine.

Figure 3: blue areas are in maintenance zones and will require minimal removal in 2023



Figure 3. Sewell and City Parks



Figure 4. Veteran's Plaza, Bicentennial Park, Rio Vista Park, Ramon Lucio Park, Crook Park

Figure 4: The majority of the Crook Park site has now been completed and put into a maintenance state. The Cheatham site was completed by another contractor and Bicentennial Park and the adjacent Snake Island (shaded pink) will be collaborative areas and require multiple workdays in 2023. A small island right upstream of I-35 is full of Chinese Tallow and also needs to be worked on.

Monitoring:

For aquatic plants, newly planted areas are monitored monthly to evaluate success rates. All planting and removal areas are monitored via quadcopter and/or visual observation by snorkelers and scuba divers. Both planting and removal efforts are mapped and quantified via GIS techniques. Work sites are separated into reaches to assess changes among and within reaches of the San Marcos River and to identify presence of non-native vegetation and also to assess the expansion of native vegetation.

A composite map depicting the routine maintenance required to remove large areas of non-native aquatic vegetation will also be generated using weekly polygons.

Budget:

<u>Table 7.1:</u> \$50,000

Estimated 2023 budget: \$210,000: \$170,000 for Texas State University (aquatic) and \$40,000 for EBR (littoral)

5.3.3/5.4.3 Management of Floating Vegetation Mats and Litter

Long-term Objective:

Minimize impacts of floating vegetation and litter on TWR stands and overall aquatic community within the San Marcos River, as well as keep springs clear to enhance San Marcos salamander habitat.

Existing vegetation management activities in Spring Lake will continue to follow the Spring Lake Management Plan (approved by the President's Cabinet) and the EAHCP, as described under Methodology.

Target for 2023:

Management activities include removal of litter from the littoral zone, stream bottom, water column, and portions of the major tributaries, as well as vegetation mats from Spring Lake Dam reach to Stokes Park. Contractors will continue to collaborate with other groups/contractors to maximize effectiveness and public involvement. Texas State University will manage aquatic vegetation in Spring Lake through use of its harvester boat and trained divers authorized to dive in Spring Lake. Additionally, invasive aquatic floating vegetation will be managed by Texas State University with the assistance of EAHCP contractors and volunteers from various organizations.

Methodology:

Spring Lake: Each week about five springs are gardened, with divers returning to garden the same springs every two to three weeks. During summer algal blooms, the springs are managed more frequently (up to four springs per day), primarily to remove algae. Texas State employees and supervised volunteers fin the area around the springs to remove accumulated sediment, and then clear a 1.5-meter radius around each spring opening in Spring Lake with a machete. Over the next 1.5-meter radius around the spring opening, they shear vegetation to a height of 30 cm, and then to one meter over the following three-meter radius. Plant materials are not collected, but rather carried away by the current. Cumulatively, about six meters of vegetation around each spring opening is modified. Mosses are not cut. The volume of plant material to be removed will vary by the amount of time between cuttings and season. The harvester boat will remove a range of 15 to 20 boatloads of plant material a month from Spring Lake. The harvester clears the top meter of the water column, cutting vegetation from sections one, two, and three once a week. The harvested vegetation is visually checked by the driver for fauna caught in the vegetation. If the driver observes fauna, he/she will stop work and return the animal(s) back into Spring Lake if appropriate. Texas State employees and supervised volunteers are trained to recognize the Covered Species through the Diving for Science program (EAHCP § 5.4.7.1) and avoid contact with them. Vegetation mats are removed from zones four and five on an as-needed basis. The total area cut equals about nine surface acres.

The Habitat Conservation Plan Manager for the COSM, in partnership with local non-profit organizations, schedule volunteers for the cleanup of nuisance floating species such as water hyacinth and water lettuce from Spring Lake. The floating plants are collected by hand and shaken prior to removal from the river to dislodge any aquatic animal species caught in the plant. The collected vegetation is transported to the COSM disposal facility.

San Marcos River: Floating vegetation in TWR and other native plant stands are pushed or lifted off the stands and removed as needed. Inorganic litter is picked up weekly from the substrate, surface and littoral zones of the San Marcos River from Upper Sewell Park to Stokes Island during the recreational season (May 1st to September 30th) and monthly during offseason. Litter is also removed from public lands within the four tributaries.

Monitoring:

In the event of low flows, this activity will be monitored by the EAA contractor for potential impacts on listed species and will be suspended if impacts are observed. Volume and type of litter removed will be tracked.

Budget: <u>Table 7.1:</u> \$80,000

Estimated 2023 budget: \$47,121 (\$40,434 for veg mat removal & \$6,687 for litter removal)

5.3.5/5.3.9/5.4.11/5.4.13 Non-Native Species Control

Long-term Objective:

Reduction of non-native, invasive species in the San Marcos River to levels that minimize their possible impacts on Covered Species and the aquatic ecosystem.

Target for 2023:

Contractor will use methods that have proven to be successful in efficient removal of non-native species from Spring Lake to Stokes Park. Contractor will measure weight for removed fish species. The targeted species include suckermouth catfish, tilapia, and two snail species, *Melanoides* and *Marisa cornuarietis*. Nutria are not frequently observed, and trapping is labor intensive, therefore, nutria will not be trapped unless seen more frequently in Spring Lake and the San Marcos River.

Methodology:

Polespear and bow fishing continue to be most effective methods for fish removal. Contractor uses polespear tournaments, permitted through the municipality, to increase total removal, while saving costs and providing an educational awareness component to participants. Contractor ensures that all methods avoid impacts to resident turtles and other native species. Tournament participants are given a packet of information and are required to sign liability waivers. A free fish fry is held after the event and it should be noted that consumption of fish captured during the tournament is not condoned.

Effective removal of *Melanoides* and *Marisa cornuarietus* is accomplished by determining the locations of highest snail density and using dip nets to remove the snails during the polespear tournaments. These species are best controlled by diving several hours after sunset to hand-pick the snails from the substrate and SAV.

Polespearing tournaments were initially cleared by the COSM and for every upcoming tournament, the COSM departments are notified.

COSM has an ordinance prohibiting the dumping of aquaria into the San Marcos River (Sec. 58.037) and accepts unwanted aquatic fauna at the Discovery Center.

Monitoring:

In order to monitor the reduction of overall non-native species abundance in the San Marcos ecosystem, the contractor will compile the weights of the individual animals removed. This information may assist in determining overall effectiveness of this conservation measures impact of species population dynamics.

Budget:

<u>Table 7.1:</u> \$35,000

Estimated 2023 budget \$23,256

5.3.7 Designation of Permanent Access Points/Bank Stabilization

Long-term Objective:

Maintain integrity of structures that serve to control bank erosion, protect TWR and listed species habitat in the recreation traffic areas.

Target for 2023:

The COSM completed the construction of bank stabilization/access points at seven locations along the San Marcos River in 2014 with repairs made in 2017. City made repairs to the Dog Beach access in 2022. If additional repairs are needed, the City of San Marcos will cover construction costs.

Monitoring:

A diver will measure possible undermining at each site twice yearly. The surface of each site will also be inspected for damage.

Budget:

Table 7.1: \$20,000

Estimated 2023 budget: \$0

5.7.1 Native Riparian Habitat Restoration

Long-term Objective:

Establish a robust native riparian and water quality buffer community that benefits the Covered Species through increasing the habitat and water quality within the San Marcos River down to city limits. The buffer will also minimize public access which causes bank erosion and impacts TWR and other stands of native vegetation. A zone of prohibitive vegetation along the uppermost edge of the riparian and water quality buffer community will be established to encourage river users to access the river via hardened access points. Private riverside landowner participation in this program will be encouraged and the EAHCP will provide the labor and plants as practical. EAHCP-funded contractor(s) will perform invasive removal and maintenance. Native plantings and maintenance will be done by volunteers during regular planting events.

Target for 2023:

Contractor (funded through the EAHCP and COSM) and volunteers will maintain all treated areas from Spring Lake to city limits to reduce non-native regrowth. Seedbanks in San Marcos River tributaries and their watersheds will be addressed as appropriate. Areas that will be focused on in 2023 include Snake Island, tributary hot spots and City property in the right bank riparian zone as defined in Figures 5-6. The USACE project will be addressing all other riparian buffers from City Park to the City's wastewater treatment plant.

Methodology:

Contractor removes and treats invasive regrowth using a glyphosate/trichlopyr herbicide mix to treat the stumps and/or roots. On upland trees, shrub stumps and root buttresses, Relegate (Triclopyr-based herbicide) is used. The Relegate is mixed with glyphosate, Drexel Surf Ac 820 Surfactant and Turf Mark Blue, a blue dye. Roots are scraped and treated with herbicide mix then monitored. Volunteers complete all other native riparian habitat restoration as described above using plants propagated at the Discovery Center. Treated and adjacent areas will be monitored for re-growth and seed sources.

Monitoring:

Monitoring will occur monthly to check for re-growth and treat as needed. Maintenance will continue to be a mix of contract work funded by EAHCP and COSM, as well as volunteerism. The City will continue to provide all fences to protect the sites as well as game cameras and other security measures as needed to prevent theft, vandalism and unauthorized access.



Figure 5. Riparian Restoration near Snake Island located across Bicentennial Park

Snake island is dominated by non-native species. The two main species covering the Island, are Chinese Tallow and Ligustrum. This section needs to be worked slowly over time during this year. If we remove all the non-natives at once, the island will be in a critical condition. This section is only accessible by boat, crossing through the river on either side.



Figure 6. Riparian Restoration across from Rio Vista Park, near the railroad

Red areas outline work will be completed in 2023. This section is dominated by Ligustrum. All areas cleared will be planted with natives.

Budget: <u>Table 7.1:</u> \$20,000

Estimated 2023 budget: \$20,000

5.3.2/5.4.2 Management of Recreation in Key Areas

Long-term Objective:

To minimize the impacts of incidental take resulting from recreation which includes, but is not limited to swimming, wading, tubing, boating, paddle boarding, scuba diving, snorkeling and fishing.

Target for 2023:

- 1. Hire Conservation Crew members that work approximately 15 hours/week (Wed to Sun) from mid-May to September with members working prior to summer season and after to continue public outreach, recreation impact minimization efforts, and assists the MCWE SAV team in their efforts to remove floating vegetation mats and non-native vegetation.
- 2. Continue the implementation of the following recreational management goals at a minimum:
- a. Signage. Signs have been posted in kiosks at most of the river access points. Signs cover the rules of the river and educate the public on the importance of the resource. Exclusion barriers are also established when flows are below 120 cfs and TWR stands are vulnerable (primarily during the recreation season).
- b. Video loop at City Park offering information about the river and safety rules while people are waiting for shuttle or tubes. Video was finished and installed in 2016/2017 for Lion's Club and will be updated and distributed electronically for increased exposure.
- c. Posted maps showing trail, access points, and other amenities. River maps are located at the Discovery Center which serves as the trailhead to the San Marcos River and help inform visitors and recreationists about the San Marcos River/Blanco River confluence.
- d. EAHCP brochures have been placed at the Tourist Information Bureau for visitors.
- e. Park Rangers. Training materials covering the river flora and fauna are available for the park ranger training so they can help disseminate listed species information.
- f. School Outreach. Implement an outreach program for San Marcos Consolidated Independent School District (SMCISD) so this information can be relayed to youth in San Marcos and indirectly to the parents. The San Marcos Discovery Center is a facility dedicated to public education and outreach regarding the San Marcos River. A local nonprofit is accomplishing this goal.
- g. Coordinate with the Texas State University Outdoor Recreation center to help educate river users about endangered species and EAHCP restoration on the San Marcos River.
- h. Continue to provide outreach at booths including Concert Series (Earth & Water), Passport SMTX, Business Expo, Mermaid Society events, San Marcos Sustainability Fair, and Don't Mess with Texas Litter Cleanup.

- i. Continue to educate the public during volunteer planting days and public events.
- j. Continue to educate the public engaged in water-based recreation on sustainable river behaviors that protect listed species and their habitats through interns and Conservation Crew program.
- k. Introduce the Certificate of Inclusion (COI) program to qualified third parties conducting recreational activities in and along the San Marcos River.
- 1. Monitor watercraft and educate recreationists about the invasive zebra mussels.

Monitoring:

Litter removed from the river during the recreation season is tracked. Also, the Conservation Crew will monitor boats and river structures for the presence of zebra mussels from Spring Lake Dam to IH-35.

Budget:

Table 7.1: \$56,000

Estimated 2023 budget: \$56,000

5.7.6 Impervious Cover/Water Quality Protection

Long-term Objective:

Establish a program to protect water quality and reduce the impacts from contaminated runoff based on recommendations listed in the *San Marcos Watershed Protection Plan*.

Target for 2023

The EAHCP commitment for a combined effort (Sediment Management and Impervious Cover and Water Quality Protection) for 2023 includes completing the construction of Sessom Creek Restoration Phase 1.

The most cost-effective strategy identified through the adaptive management process (AMP) in 2017 was implementation of stream restoration projects in the middle reach of Sessom Creek. Restoration will also address a tributary flowing into the middle reach, the Windmill Tributary, that is experiencing accelerated stream erosion and also contributing high sediment loads. Primary objectives of the AMP strategies are (1) reduce existing stream erosion, and (2) accelerate the natural re-stabilization process for Sessom Creek, i.e., to return it to a state of geomorphic equilibrium.

The preliminary recommendations address Phase 1, approximately 1400 linear feet of Sessom Creek, from above North LBJ Drive upstream to the Windmill Tributary confluence and Phase 2, approximately 565 linear feet from the confluence to the Loquat/Canyon intersection, plus 550 linear feet of Windmill Tributary. Stream and watershed restoration practices identified for each project reach include grade control, bank stabilization, gully control, stormwater management ponds, natural channel design, and riparian restoration.

In addition, the COSM has identified several other projects and concerns within the same geographic area. These include wastewater improvements, road repair and improvements, site-specific erosion repairs, and a water main project. These improvements will be funded by COSM and will work in collaboration with the stream restoration and stormwater management practices to the maximum extent practical. The wastewater improvement project is separate but is planned to start prior to the Sessom Creek channel stabilization phase 1 and 2.

Monitoring:

The EAA Sessom Creek Real-Time monitoring station will measure turbidity, dissolved oxygen, and temperature. Any changes in water quality due to Sessom Creek restoration will be monitored by this monitoring station, the construction site will be monitored by the construction inspector.


Figure 7. Sessom Creek Proposed Project Reaches - Phase 1 (green line) and Phase 2 (pink lines)

Budget:

Table 7.1 \$200,000

Estimated 2023 budget: \$1,065,048

**\$1,528,200.00 was approved for this conservation measure in 2019, \$1,037,862.00 remained in December 2020, an additional \$62,138 was approved for this conservation measure in 2021, \$1,065,048.25 remained as of the December 2021 invoice. Phase 1 construction started in spring 2022 and will continue into 2023. Phase 2 construction will begin spring 2024 and will continue until fall 2025. Funding will cover Sessom Creek Phase 1 channel construction and construction administration services. The estimated 2023 budget will be updated to reflect costs incurred in 2022.

5.7.5 Management of Household Hazardous Waste

Long-term Objective:

Strengthen the COSM existing program that provides a place for citizens of San Marcos and Hays County to safely dispose of Household Hazardous Waste (HHW). This prevents the dumping of HHW into the river or recharge zone and thus impacting the Covered Species.

Target 2023:

Target 3,000 participants for public outreach events. Staff will conduct these events and convert or dispose of the HHW between events. Fund outreach to surrounding communities within the San Marcos River watershed that cannot afford to partner in a HHW collection program. Mailing quick fact flyers out with HHW information.

Methodology: Open drop-off opportunities two days a week (Tuesday and Friday) from 12:00 p.m.to 3:30 p.m. to the public.

Monitoring:

Track the amount of HHW received and number of participants from San Marcos, Hays County, and surrounding communities. All necessary documentation will be turned in to TCEQ. Identify the HHW that comes from communities with the San Marcos River watershed and the cost of collecting, processing and disposing of HHW from these communities.

Budget: <u>Table 7.1:</u> \$30,000

Estimated 2023 budget: \$30,000

5.3.4 Prohibition of Hazardous Materials Transport Across the San Marcos River and its Tributaries

Long-term Objective:

Reduce the potential of spill of hazardous materials in the San Marcos River and its tributaries through the designation of a hazardous materials route in COSM.

Target for 2023:

Route map is completed, next steps include coordination between surrounding political subdivisions, Texas Department of Transportation, and the COSM.

Monitoring:

Bi-annual monitoring of hazmat traps on designated roadways to determine functionality and annual monitoring of all installed signage is ongoing. Substandard conditions will be repaired or replaced as necessary by the COSM.

Budget:

<u>Table 7.1:</u> \$0

5.7.3 Septic System Registration and Permitting Program

Long Term Objective:

To ensure an aerobic and anaerobic septic system registration, evaluation, and permitting program to prevent subsurface pollutant loadings from potentially being introduced to the San Marcos Springs ecosystem within city limits.

Target for 2023:

To maintain an accurate record of new and existing septic systems installed and modified in city jurisdiction. In addition, city ordinance requires all owners of septic systems connect to municipal sewer lines as they become available.

Methodology - It is required by law that all septic systems are permitted by the local Designated Representative (DR), which is the City of San Marcos Environmental Health Department. Plans are submitted with the application and reviewed by the DR for TCEQ compliance. Once these requirements are met, the permit to construct is issued. The design, site evaluation, installation and inspections can only be performed by individuals that are licensed by TCEQ. Before the installation or modification is approved, inspections are made by the DR to ensure that the system installed corresponds with the design. Once completed, a license to operate is issued to the property owner by the DR. All DRs are subject to TCEQ Compliance Reviews.

Monitoring:

The City of San Marcos Environmental Health Department reviews all applications and inspects the installations of all new and modified septic systems within the City's jurisdiction. The Department also monitors maintenance and responds to all complaints reported or observed.

Budget:

<u>Table 7.1:</u> \$0

5.7.4 Minimizing Impacts of Contaminated Runoff

Long-term Objective:

The goal of this measure is to reduce the input of sediment and roadway contaminants into the San Marcos River. In order to leverage existing investment from the COSM, the EAHCP will assist in constructing two ponds. Both ponds are designed for high pollutant load reduction and have been identified as a priority management strategy.

Target for 2023:

The ponds were completed in 2020, all activities and funds associated with this measure have been completed.

Budget: <u>Table 7.1:</u> \$0

5.4.5 Diversion of Surface Water

Long-term Objective:

Texas State University will curtail its permitted surface water diversions as a function of total San Marcos springflow to protect the aquatic resources as specified under the EAHCP flow management strategy.

Target for 2023:

Restriction of surface pumping as specified under the EAHCP. Under TCEQ Certificates 18-3865 and 18-3866, Texas State University's total diversion rate from the headwaters of the San Marcos River for consumptive use is limited to 8.1 cfs (See EAHCP Section 2.5.5). The total diversion rate from Spring Lake is limited to 4.78 cfs; the total diversion rate from the San Marcos River at Sewell Park is limited to 2.22- cfs (See EAHCP Section 2.5.5.1 and 2.5.5.2 respectively).

Methodology - When flow at the USGS gauge (08170500) San Marcos River in Sewell Park reaches 80 cfs, Texas State University will reduce the total rate of surface water diversion by 2 cfs, *i.e.*, to a total of approximately 6.1 cfs. This reduction in pumping will occur at the pump just below Spring Lake Dam in order to maximize the benefits to salamanders, TWR, and other aquatic resources in the San Marcos River below Spring Lake Dam. The University will reduce the total rate of surface water diversion by an additional 2 cfs when the USGS gauge reaches 60 cfs. The additional 2 cfs reduction will be made from the pumps located in the slough arm of Spring Lake, and, therefore, maximize the benefits to the aquatic resources within the main stem San Marcos River below Spring Lake Dam. When the USGS gauge reaches 52 cfs, Texas State University will reduce the total diversion rate to 1 cfs. This further reduction will be made by restricting the pumps located in the Sewell Park reach. The diversion of water will be suspended when the springflow reaches 45 cfs.

Monitoring:

Pumping rates will be reported on a daily basis when any of the pumping restrictions are in force.

Budget:

<u>Table 7.1:</u> \$0

5.4.7 Diving Classes in Spring Lake

Long-term Objective:

Maintain the integrity of the ecology within Spring Lake through controlling access to Spring Lake in accordance with federal, state and local laws.

Assumptions: All diving activities in Spring Lake are governed by the Spring Lake Management Plan.

Target for 2023:

Implement the diving protocols as outlined in the Spring Lake Management Plan, EAHCP, and the ITP with the following modifications: no more than 16 volunteer divers will be allowed in the lake per day, with no more than eight at one time.

Methodology - The Diving Safety Officer will monitor all diving activities in Spring Lake, assuring all guidelines contained in the Diving Safety Manual for Spring Lake, Spring Lake Management Plan, EAHCP, and ITP are observed.

Monitoring:

The Lake Manager, with assistance from the Diving Safety Officer, will compile an annual summary of diving activities conducted in Spring Lake and provide to the Diving Control Board for its review.

Budget:

<u>Table 7.1:</u> \$0

5.4.8 Research Programs in Spring Lake

City ordinance and state law designate the public waters of Spring Lake as restricted to activities authorized by the University. Proposals for research projects in Spring Lake must be submitted to the Environmental Review Committee, through the Lake Manager, for review and approval.

Long-term Objective:

Maintain the integrity of the ecology within Spring Lake through controlling access to Spring Lake in accordance with federal, state and local laws. All research activities in Spring Lake are governed by the Spring Lake Management Plan, EAHCP, and ITP.

Target for 2023:

Implement the protocols for research as specified in the Spring Lake Management Plan, EAHCP, and ITP.

Methodology - Proposals for research projects in Spring Lake must be submitted to the Environmental Review Committee, through the Lake Manager, for review and approval.

Proposals for research projects must be submitted in writing and include:

- 1. Name and contact information of the responsible party conducting the research;
- 2. Purpose and expected outcomes of the activities, including a description of how the project contributes to science;
- 3. Description of activities, including, if appropriate, measures to be taken to minimize any impact on endangered species or their habitat, or any cultural resources found in the lake;
- 4. Methodology, including literature review;
- 5. Type of equipment used, how much; where it will be placed, and for how long it will remain in lake (see Equipment in Lake Section E of the Spring Lake Management Plan);
- 6. Expected impact; and
- 7. Timeline of project.

Monitoring:

The Lake Manager will compile an annual summary of the research conducted in the lake, including statements on the impact of these activities on the health of the lake.

Budget:

<u>Table 7.1:</u> \$0

5.4.10 Boating in Spring Lake and Sewell Park

Long-term Objective:

Maintain the integrity of the ecology within Spring Lake and San Marcos River through controlling access to Spring Lake in accordance to federal, state and local laws. All boating activities in Spring Lake are governed by the Spring Lake Management Plan, EAHCP, and ITP.

Target for 2023:

Implement the protocols for boating as specified in the Spring Lake Management Plan in support of the EAHCP and ITP.

Follow the below protocol for all boats (canoe, kayak, and paddleboards) used for educational activities, excluding glass bottom boats:

- 1. All boats must be properly washed/disinfected before being placed in lake and once they are removed per the protocol defined in the Spring Lake Management Plan.
- 2. Participants must receive an orientation prior to boating including instruction on safety, basic boat handling, and on-site rules and regulations. The orientation will cover information specific to Spring Lake's sensitivity and endangered species.
- 3. All non-glass bottom boat activity must not interfere with routine glass bottom boat operations.

To minimize the impacts of boating on the Covered Species' habitat in Sewell Park, canoeing/kayaking classes in Sewell Park will be confined to the region between Sewell Park and Rio Vista dam. Students will enter/exit canoes/kayaks at specified access points to avoid impacting the flora and fauna along the bank. Classes will be no longer than two hours and up to three classes will be held per day. Classes will have a maximum of 20 students. All classes will be supervised.

Monitoring:

The Lake Manager will compile an annual summary of boating activities conducted on the lake, including statements on the impact of these activities on the health of the lake.

Budget:

<u>Table 7.1:</u> \$0

5.4.9 Management of Golf Course and Grounds

Long-term Objective:

Management of the grounds to minimize and reduce negative effects to aquatic ecosystem in Spring Lake and the San Marcos River.

Target for 2023:

Continued implementation of the Grounds Management Plan and Integrated Pest Management Plan. Texas State University completed conversion of the Golf Course to Intramural Recreation Fields. COSM will work with the Texas State Facilities to better understand how the change will affect the Grounds Management Plan and the Integrated Pesticide Management Plan.

Methodology - The grounds will be maintained to meet the recreational function in an environmentally sensitive manner. It is the responsibility of the Grounds Manager to maintain the grounds in accordance with the Integrative Pest Management Plan (IPM). This plan describes the activities and materials to be used to control pests (i.e. insects, weeds, and other living organisms requiring control) in a way that minimally impacts the environment. The IPM is updated as needed by the Grounds Manager, in consultation with the Lake Manager and the Environmental Review Committee. The Grounds Manager will consult with the Lake Manager on any unique situations that may arise outside of routine maintenance that could impact Spring Lake.

Monitoring:

Each year the Grounds Manager will report to the Lake Manager detailed information on maintenance activities and materials used during the year. Documentation of herbicide application is monitored by the Texas Department of Agriculture through unannounced spot checks.

Budget:

<u>Table 7.1:</u> \$0

From:EAHCPTo:EAHCPSubject:2023 EAHCP San Marcos -TX Historical Commission ConcurrenceDate:Thursday, March 14, 2024 6:12:00 PM

From: noreply@thc.state.tx.us

Sent: Monday, April 3, 2023 8:27 AM

To: Mason Miller <<u>MMiller@amaterra.com</u>>; reviews@thc.state.tx.us;

ryanpatrickperckins@gmail.com

Subject: Edwards Aquifer Authority Habitat Conservation Plan - San Marcos



Re: Project Review under the Antiquities Code of Texas THC Tracking #202305764 Date: 04/03/2023 Edwards Aquifer Authority Habitat Conservation Plan - San Marcos 522 Cheatham St San Marcos,TX 78666

Description: Annual habitat improvement and management program for the San Marcos River and its vicinity. It is administered through the Edwards Aquifer Authority. This is the proposed CY 2023 plan.

Dear Mason Miller:

Thank you for your submittal regarding the above-referenced project. This response represents the comments of the Executive Director of the Texas Historical Commission (THC), pursuant to review under the Antiquities Code of Texas.

The review staff, led by Tiffany Osburn, Amy Borgens and Caitlin Brashear, has completed its review and has made the following determinations based on the information submitted for review:

Archeology Comments

• THC/SHPO concurs with information provided.

We look forward to further consultation with your office and hope to maintain a partnership that will foster effective historic preservation. Thank you for your cooperation in this review process, and for your efforts to preserve the irreplaceable heritage of Texas. If the project changes, or if new historic properties are found, please contact the review staff. If you have any questions concerning our review or if we can be of further assistance, please email the following reviewers: tiffany.osburn@thc.texas.gov, amy.borgens@thc.texas.gov,

caitlin.brashear@thc.texas.gov.

This response has been sent through the electronic THC review and compliance system (eTRAC). Submitting your project via eTRAC eliminates mailing delays and allows you to check the status of the review, receive an electronic response, and generate reports on your submissions. For more information, visit <u>http://thc.texas.gov/etrac-system</u>.

Sincerely,



for Mark Wolfe, State Historic Preservation Officer Executive Director, Texas Historical Commission

Please do not respond to this email.

cc: <a href="mailto:ryanpatrickperckins@gmail.com</p">ryanpatrickperckins@gmail.com

Don't click links or attachments unless you know they're safe.	- EAA Helpdesk
Don't click links or attachments unless you know they're safe.	- EAA Helpdesk