Increasing Comal Springs riffle beetle F1 adult production at the Refugia level

Dr. Lindsay Campbell

Review of Research - Survivorship

Survivorship decreases rapidly after 5-7 months



Review of Research

- Tested adding wood and roots to tubes
- Slightly higher survivability for Wood and Roots, but not statistically significant
- Significantly higher larval production for the Wood treatment



Larval Production by Treatment



Control Roots Wood

Review of Research – Manufactured Feeds

Four types

- *Plant protein, Animal protein, Single Cell protein, Artificial Log shape
- *Offered with leaved and biofilm cloth
- Stable isotopes analyzed







Diet Analysis

- Did eat some of the pell Plant Pellet the most
- Mostly ate leaves

Diet Item

Leaves

Plant Pellet

Single Cell

Artificial Log

Biofilm Cloth

Animal Pellet

Pellet



Review of Research- Microbiome (on-going)

- Significant differences between wild CSRB and captive CSRB
- Did find Brevundimonas genus, associated with wood-feeding insects in both
- Greatest difference was Acidobacteria
 17% captive CSRB
 0.6% wild CSRB
- Also found higher levels of Staphylococcus in captive beetles
- *Only found Comamonas, Chromobacterium, and Mycobacterium genera in captive CSRB

Relative abundance of Genera



Microbiome (on-going)

- Linear Discriminant Analysis found 24 sequences that were significantly different between the two groups
 6 (blue) greater in wild CSRB
 18 (red) greater in captive CSRB
- Captive CSRB missing Acidovorax, Enterococcus, and Roseateles genera
- Water at SMARC missing 10 different species of bacteria that is found in Comal Springs water



Review of Research – F1 Pupation & Eclosion

- Dr. Ely Kosnicki from BIO-WEST, Inc had a 60% pupation/eclosion rate (interim report)
 - Horizontal tube orientation
 - Found air-water interface important
 - Increasing replicates in 2020

Dr. Weston Nowlin's group had initially higher success rates with wild cultured biofilm

Also found air space important to pupation

&Grant delayed, so second year work on-going

Refugia Research 2021-2022 on CSRB Scaling-Up findings to Refugia Level Production

- EFFICACY how well a treatment/design works in controlled research environment
- EFFECTIVENESS how well a treatment/design works on larger groups, "real world"
- Why the difference is important
 - While a particular design might work well in low numbers or with much time commitment, this might not be feasible to large production or time that would be limited to each container.
- Based on a 60% success rate with 20 larvae in a tube:
 - In order to produce 1,000 F1 beetles to re-populate 83 flow-through tubes needed, all at once
 - 1,660 late-stage larvae → at least 3,320 larvae would need to be produced
 195 pairs of adult beetles would be needed to produce that many larvae

Objectives

- Determine if higher larvae densities in a flow-through tube design can maintain or improve upon measured pupation/eclosion rates?
- Determine if a tube design modified as a small, rectangular flow-through box and maintain or improve upon measured pupation/eclosion rates?
- Based on optimization of above factors, determine if adding wild, cultivated biofilm (on leaves, wood and cloth for larvae) will improve pupation/eclosion rates?
- Try supplementing biofilm/microbiome with cultured bacteria
- Test exposure of *Staphylococcus* at high levels to larvae

Density

- Use the most effective tube design from BIO-WEST, Inc.'s research
- Test 20 larvae (control) against 30 and 40 larvae per tube
- Three to five replicates each
- Check after 80 days (recommended in their interim report)



Modified tube design

- We have found flow tube tubes easily clog
- Risk of damage to enclosed organisms when moved and opened to clear
- Cannot easily see if food items are compromised or need replenishing
- Have been testing a modified rectangular boxes for adult beetles
 - Easier to clear any obstructions
 - Can see food items
 - Easy open lid
 - Air space and water level can be adjusted
 - Same general dimensions as tubes, 70% water fill
- Three replicates of 20 larvae each



Biofilm - SMARC, Wild, Microbiome Supplement

- Use the best tube design and density from previous experiments
- Compare biofilm cultured at SMARC as usual (control)
- To that of biofilm cultured in Comal Springs (like Nowlin's group)
- And that of biofilm cultured with bacteria from microbiome study
 - Own recirculating tank using sterilized water
 - Bacterial spikes given twice a month
 - Let grow for three months
- Three replicates of each





Phase 1: Characterization and comparison

Refugium

Identification of targets for microbiome manipulation

Development of management

strategies



Exposure to Detrimental Bacteria

- Identify deleterious bacteria step to healthier Refugia population
- Work not able to complete in 2020
- Based on sequencing first test Staphylococcus
 - Expose late stage larvae to high levels and not added bacteria
 - Test Refugia larvae for base line levels
 - Test larvae after high exposure
 - Check survival rate after 30 days
 - Allow remaining to grow out and document survival and pupation rates



QUESTIONS?



